SALT LAKE COUNTY

2001 So. State Street
Salt Lake City, UT 84114
(385) 468-7500   TTY 711

Meeting Minutes

Tuesday, July 14, 2026

1:30 PM

Council Chambers, Room N1-110

County Council

1. CALL TO ORDER

Invocation - Reading or Thought - Pledge of Allegiance

Council Member Theodore led the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America.

Present:	Council Member Laurie Stringham Council Member Suzanne Harrison Council Member Natalie Pinkney Council Member Jiro Johnson Council Member Carlos Moreno Council Member Ross Romero Council Member Dea Theodore
Excused:	Council Member Sheldon Stewart Council Member Aimee Winder Newton

1.1 Quorum Call—Roll Call Vote

Roll was called, showing all Council members were present, except for Council Members Stewart and Winder Newton, who were excused.

2. PUBLIC COMMENT

Ms. Dena Long asked the members of the Council if they had received their invitations for the think tank for the Leifman Group that she had emailed earlier that week. The event would be held on Wednesday, July 15, 2026, from 5:00 PM to 7:00 PM, at the Murray Library.

Ms. Cindy Thompson stated there were several fires recently, but the members of the Council were of no help, despite the emergency training they attended in Maui, Hawaii. She also criticized Council Member Pinkney for going over her office travel budget.

3. REPORT OF ELECTED OFFICIALS:

3.1 County Council Members

Council Member Johnson stated he, along with several members of the State Legislature and Salt Lake City Council, attended the 90th Annual Obon Festival. The event was well attended.

Council Member Harrison stated there was a lot of information about the work of the Leifman Group on the Mayor's page of the County's website, including a link to the Behavioral Health and Justice Action Plan.

Council Member Theodore stated there was a lot of information online about the Leifman Group, and constituents could always email the Council with questions. The program is still in development.

Council Member Stringham wished a Happy Bastille Day to all the people visiting the United States from France.

3.2 County Mayor

3.3 Other Elected County Officials

4. CONSENT ITEMS

A motion was made by Council Member Johnson, seconded by Council Member Pinkney, that the Consent Agenda be approved, excluding item 4.1. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

4.1 Consideration of Career Services Council Reappointment: Jennifer Nakao 26-694

Attachments:
1. JenniferNakaoPacket

Council Member Theodore asked legal counsel his thoughts on pulling this item off the Consent Agenda because some reconfiguration to a different model would be happening in the future.

Mr. Mitchell Park, Legal Counsel, Council Office, stated some changes had been made to State law that would affect the County Personnel Management Act and the Career Service Council. However, existing ordinance established the Career Service Council and required that it continue. He recommended that the organization be maintained in its quorum.

Council Member Stringham stated she had multiple issues and concerns with the Career Service Council. She would not want Jennifer Nakao or any other candidate to be appointed via the Consent Agenda, and Ms. Nakao was not present during today's meeting to answer questions.

This item was pulled from the agenda.

4.2 Consideration of Approval of Special Employee Pricing Offer for Broadway at the Eccles presentation of Harry Potter and the Cursed Child 26-702

Attachments:
1. Broadway at the Eccles Employee Special Pricing - Harry Potter and the Cursed Child

The vote on this consent item was approved.

5. APPROVAL OF TAX LETTERS

A motion was made by Council Member Johnson, seconded by Council Member Pinkney, that the Tax Letters be approved. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

5.1 Veteran DMV refunds 26-660

Attachments:
1. 26-660 MV0017 DMV Vet Refund $3989tw

The vote on this tax letter was approved.

5.2 Assessor Tax Letters 26-695

Attachments:
1. 22-07-105-003, Etc
2. 22-07-105-019
3. 22-07-105-004
4. 15-34-102-063
5. 27-01-127-018
6. 08-26-479-004
7. 15-29-376-007
8. 14-35-279-053, -057, -058
9. 14-30-476-020
10. 28-29-312-002
11. 15-29-126-016
12. 26-35-101-011
13. 33-10-327-014
14. 27-33-477-022
15. 27-22-276-065
16. 21-14-251-020

17. 26-26-226-011
18. 33-06-251-011

The vote on this tax letter was approved.

5.3 Refund Overpaid Manufactured Home Property Taxes 26-715

Attachments:

1. 26-9015 26- Refund overpaid MH Property Taxes $690.38 ryh

The vote on this tax letter was approved.

5.4 Refund Overpaid 2026 Personal Property Taxes 26-716

Attachments:

1. 26-9016 26-716 Refund overpaid 2026 Personal Property Taxes $20,874.02 ryh

The vote on this tax letter was approved.

6. ACCEPTANCE OF ETHICS DISCLOSURES

A motion was made by Council Member Johnson, seconded by Council Member Pinkney, that the Ethics Disclosures be received and filed. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

6.1 Acceptance of Ethics Disclosures: TRCC — Tourism, Recreation, Culture and Convention 26-703

Attachments:

1. Shannon Smith - TRCC Conflict of Interest 2026 (2)_Redacted

The vote on this ethics disclosure was received and filed.

7. APPROVAL OF COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES

7.1 Approval of June 30, 2026, County Council Minutes 26-737

Attachments:

1. 063026 Council Minutes

A motion was made by Council Member Johnson, seconded by Council Member Pinkney, that this agenda item be approved. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

8. WORK SESSION

8.1 Informational Update on the Proposed Hire Report / Incentive Plans - $3000 and Under / Weekly Reclassification Report — 26-734

Presenter: Hoa Nguyen, Council Budget and Policy Analyst
(Less than 5 minutes)

Informational

Attachments:
1. Proposed Hire Report - 7-8-2026
2. Incentive Plans - $3,000 and Under 7-8-2026
3. Weekly Reclassification Report 7-8-2026

Ms. Hoa Nguyen, Budget and Policy Analyst, Council Office, reviewed the proposed hires and reclassifications. There were no incentive plans.

8.2 Budget Adjustment: Office of Data and Innovation Requests to Transfer $75,000 from the Smart Government Fund to Information Technology for a One-Time AI License Pilot to Expand AI Adoption Among County Employees — 26-701

Presenter: Hoa Nguyen, Council Budget and Policy Analyst
(Less than 5 minutes)

Discussion - Vote Needed

Attachments:
1. 39399 39400 - SGF_AI Licenses to Promote Adoption & Efficiency

Ms. Hoa Nguyen, Budget and Policy Analyst, Council Office, reviewed the budget adjustment.

A motion was made by Council Member Pinkney, seconded by Council Member Johnson, that this agenda item be approved. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

8.3 TIME CERTAIN 2:00 PM — 26-691
Report of the Central Utah Water Conservancy District Concerning the District's Proposed Property Tax Increase, and Opportunity for Comment by the Salt Lake

County Council as Required by Utah Code Section 17B-1-1003

Presenter: Bart Leeflang, Central Utah Water Conservancy District Assistant General Manager
(15 minutes)

Informational

Attachments:
1. Centeral Utah Water - Salt Lake County

Mr. Bart Leeflang, Assistant General Manager, Central Utah Water Conservancy District (CUWCD), delivered a PowerPoint presentation entitled Central Utah Water Truth in Taxation County Report Fiscal Year 2026-2027. He reviewed the CUWCD boundaries; the infrastructure for which CUWCD is responsible, how CUWCD benefits the public; conservation programs; current projects, including the Alpine Aqueduct Relocation; the Central Water Project (CWP) Groundwater Polishing Plant; the Deer Creek Intake Project; water treatment plants: reserve revenue versus capital replacement expenditures; fiscal year 2026-2027 budgeted expenditures; fiscal year 2026-2027 projected revenues; certified rate versus authorized rate tax revenue; what this will cost the average household; and the days and times that meetings and hearings will be held.

Council Member Harrison expressed concern over the fact that the majority of revenue for the CUWCD budget came from property taxes instead of service fees. She felt this incentivized wasteful water usage instead of conservation. She wanted to see a shift to relying more on fees.

Mr. Leeflang stated this would be unlikely since CUWCD sells water to local municipalities at wholesale prices.

Mayor Jennifer Wilson asked the Council to work with her legislative team to ensure that efforts are being taken to fulfill a universal mandate by the public to change the systems of supplying water.

8.4 Consideration of Clark Planetarium Pilot Program Fee Adjustment Request to Test Market Pricing Elasticity 26-732

Presenter: Robin Chalhoub, Community Services Director; Duke Johnson, Clark Planetarium Director
(5 minutes)

Discussion - Vote Needed

Attachments:
1. Clark Planetarium Business Brief_Price Testing 072026

Ms. Robin Chalhoub, Director, Community Services Department, stated the Planetarium was asking the Council for a window of time to test different cost structures for its theaters so that it could better evaluate pricing and understand demand elasticity with the theaters.

Mr. Durand Johnson, Director, Clark Planetarium, stated his goal was to be very fiscally responsible, understanding that in a typical year, 45 to 48 percent of the funding for the Planetarium comes from the County. He would like to do that in a balanced way that would maximize the number of residents being served while reaching revenue goals as costs escalate. This testing would allow the Planetarium to hone in on the ideal amount to charge patrons of the theaters.

Council Member Stringham asked if the Council could approve a range instead of a set amount.

Mr. Mitchell Park, Legal Counsel, Council Office, stated State law requires the Council to set all fees. There was nothing he was aware of that would preclude adoption of a particular range, but the range would not have to be too wide as to make the fees arbitrarily imposed. It would need to be thoughtful, and the fees would probably have to be tied to the costs of services provided. Mr. Park expressed a willingness to look more into the matter if that would be helpful.

Council Member Johnson asked if he was correct in surmising that the Planetarium was asking to charge a bit less for the regular shows and a bit more for the IMAX shows.

Mr. Johnson stated this was correct. The County was charging well below market value for IMAX showings. The Planetarium had recently received permission from IMAX and a couple other movie houses to play first-run movies. This would be an opportunity for the Planetarium to branch out and bring in more popular movies, such as those from the Star Wars and Star Trek franchises. It would also be an opportunity to show films that are shot specifically for IMAX theaters.

Ms. Erin Litvack, Deputy Mayor of County Services, stated she was able to travel to the Calgary planetarium in Alberta, Canada, where they conducted a similar testing of cost structures. The staff there found it to be very helpful and recommended it.

A motion was made by Council Member Johnson, seconded by Council Member Harrison, to approve the request as presented. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

9. PUBLIC HEARINGS AND ISSUANCE OF PUBLIC NOTICES

9.1 Hold a Public Hearing to Receive Comments on the Proposed Amendments to Three Interlocal Agreements: 26-660

- **Agreement between Salt Lake County and the Community Development and Renewal Agency of Herriman for the Herriman Innovation District Community Reinvestment Project Area**
- **Agreement between Salt Lake County and the Redevelopment Agency of Midvale City for the Midvale Main Street Community Development Project Area**
- **Agreement between Salt Lake County and the Millcreek Community Reinvestment Agency for the Woodland Avenue Community Reinvestment Project Area**

Attachments:
1. Amendment 1 - Herriman Innovation District CRA_RATF
2. Amendment 2 - Midvale Main Street CDA_RATF
3. Amendment 1 - Millcreek Woodland Avenue CRA_RATF
4. Notice of Public Hearing_Midvale Main Street CDA
5. Notice of Public Hearing_Woodland Avenue CRA
6. Notice of Public Hearing_Herriman Innovation District CRA

A motion was made by Council Member Pinkney, seconded by Council Member Johnson, to open the public hearing. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

Nobody spoke in favor of nor in opposition to the proposed amendments to the three interlocal agreements.

A motion was made by Council Member Johnson, seconded by Council Member Harrison, to close the public hearing.

9.2 Hold a Public Hearing to Receive Comment from Interested Parties Concerning Contest by John Prince of Salt Lake County's Tax Sale of Parcel 16-17-306-026-0000 26-699

Attachments:
1. Tax Sale Protest 2026 John Prince

A motion was made by Council Member Johnson, seconded by Council Member Harrison, to open the public hearing. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

Mr. John Prince stated he had recently participated in a tax auction. After winning the bid, he received a call from an acquaintance, Jose Vargas, who informed him that shill bidding, the practice of a seller (or their associates) placing bids on their own auction items to artificially inflate the price, desirability, or search ranking, had taken place during the bidding process. Shill bidding is illegal in most states and also violates the terms and conditions of the County's stated tax sale rules. After looking deeper into the situation, it was confirmed to Mr. Prince that Mr. Vargas did have his wife and business partner make roughly a third of the bids in the tax auction. Mr. Prince asked the County to amend the price to the last legitimate bid, and when the County said no, he requested to protest the sale. Mr. Prince distributed an evidentiary packet to each Council member.

A motion was made by Council Member Johnson, seconded by Council Member Pinkney, to close the public hearing. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

10. COUNCIL QUASI-JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW

10.1 Council Administrative Review and Potential Decision Concerning Contest by John Prince of Salt Lake County's Tax Sale of Parcel 16-17-306-026-0000, Pursuant to the Procedures Outlined in Salt Lake County Code of Ordinances § 3.65.110 26-700

Presenter: Mitchell F. Park, Salt Lake County Council Legal Counsel (15 minutes)

Discussion - Vote Needed

Attachments:
1. Tax Sale Protest 2026 John Prince

Mr. Mitchell Park, Legal Counsel, Council Office, stated this would not be a formal administrative hearing where witnesses would be sworn in and where there would be opposing parties. Rather, it was an opportunity for the Council to informally question Mr. Prince, the protestor. Representatives from the Auditor's Office and the District Attorney's Office were also present to give input. At the conclusion of the hearing, the Council would have to decide to strike the property from sale or uphold the sale, barring a third option.

Council Member Johnson asked if any of the other bidders had received notice of today's meeting.

Mr. Park stated the meeting had been properly noticed pursuant to State law. He had also reached out to the bidders he knew of via email as an informal courtesy.

Mr. Brad Johnson, Deputy District Attorney, stated Mr. Jose Vargas was included in the list of people who received notice.

Council Member Romero asked Mr. Johnson why the sale was not set aside and if the District Attorney's Office held a position on this matter.

Mr. Johnson stated the matter was really up to the Council. That said, had the allegations come up before or during the tax sale, the District Attorney's Office probably would have tried to advise the Auditor to reject the bids made from the bidder with an interest in the property.

Mr. Richard Jaussi, Chief Deputy Auditor, stated tax sales take place when a property has been delinquent in taxes for five years. The delinquency for this property was roughly $15,500. County records were not clear on who the owner of the property was. During the course of the auction, there were individuals who placed bids after Mr. Vargas. The Auditor's Office did provide notice to the names on the deed, but those were returned because the individuals were deceased. The Auditor's Office did place notice on the property.

Mr. Johnson stated the purpose of a tax sale is to collect on delinquent taxes and protect the financial interests of the delinquent taxpayer by getting as close to fair market value as possible. Redemption rules exist to protect junior lien holders by disallowing a delinquent taxpayer to improve their position by bidding on their own delinquent property. In this case, there were no recorded lien holders. Mr. Johnson acknowledged there was some ambiguity in the ordinance, which states if a bid is made by someone with an ownership interest, the property will be redeemed in the name of the record owner. However, he felt that should be interpreted as the winning bidder, because a property cannot be redeemed if no money is received. He felt the ordinance should be clarified in that respect.

The biggest issue in this case was that there was no clear chain of title in the public record. The Recorder's office did not show the bidder as the owner of the property. Mr. Johnson's guess was that Mr. Vargas inherited the property along with twelve other individuals, so he probably had a 1/13th ownership interest at best. Moreover, while Mr. Prince felt the final selling price was inflated, after the alleged owner dropped out of bidding, there were four more individuals who continued to bid. There has been no evidence introduced that any of those other bidders had an ownership interest in the property. Also, the deed to the alleged owner was to an LLC, which, under Utah law, is its own entity. The bidder was a registered agent, or the spouse of a registered agent.

Mr. Johnson felt the whole situation was messy, but not necessarily unlawful. He felt it might be a good idea in the future to require all bidders to state, under penalty of purgery, that they do not have an ownership interest in the property being bid upon.

Council Member Johnson asked what would happen to the interests of the other twelve owners who might have 1/13th ownership in the property that was sold at auction.

Mr. Johnson stated that was the more concerning issue in his opinion, because those individuals did not receive notice. Since the Recorder's Office did not have a clear record, that would have to go through litigation. After auction, excess proceeds are sent to the State Treasurer, and people who might be entitled to money from the sale are allowed to make a claim.

Council Member Johnson stated concern that the current system was not doing a very good job of protecting the interests of property owners. He agreed that the ordinance needed to be revised and clarified.

Council Member Romero stated he did not like the path the Council seemed to be going down of flipping the responsibility of recording ownership from the claimant to the County. The County could not be expected to do so much due diligence and research with all the tax sales it has to conduct.

A motion was made by Council Member Romero, seconded by Council Member Theodore, that the bid be ratified based on the testimony that was given. The motion carried 4 to 3 by the following roll call vote.

Aye:	Council Member Harrison, Council Member Romero, Council Member Stringham, Council Member Theodore
Nay:	Council Member Johnson, Council Member Moreno, Council Member Pinkney

A motion was made by Council Member Johnson, seconded by Council Member Romero, to direct the Auditor and District Attorney to provide recommended revisions to the ordinance related to these sales in order to provide clarification on some of the issues that have been identified during today's hearing and improve upon the process. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

Mr. Jaussi stated his office was very amenable to this. He stated, for context, that when his office was given a list from the Treasurer of delinquent properties, there were 302 properties on it.

Mr. Prince stated this group's regular and entire strategy was to visit messy probate situations and insert itself. It was successful in inflating the auction much of the time. Court records showed at least twenty other times that this has been done and called out. If the decision of the Council was to ratify the bids, kicking the can down the road would solve nothing. It was only by happenstance that he was able to find out about this one incident, and it would never be more clear than it was today. He was surprised by the Council's decision to pretend it did not happen.

Later in the meeting, Council Member Stringham asked the Chair if the Council could revisit this agenda item so the vote could be recalled.

Mr. Park stated there would need to be a motion to reconsider.

A motion was made by Council Member Stringham, seconded by Council Member Johnson, to reconsider the vote for this agenda item.

Council Member Romero asked why this request was being made.

Council Member Stringham stated she had an opportunity to think things through, and she wanted to change her vote because it seemed there were some predatory things going on.

Council Member Pinkney asked that the question be called.

A motion was made by Council Member Stringham, seconded by Council Member Johnson, to reconsider the vote for this agenda item. The motion carried 5 to 2 by the following roll call vote.

Aye: Council Member Johnson, Council Member Moreno, Council Member Pinkney, Council Member Stringham, Council Member Theodore

Nay: Council Member Harrison, Council Member Romero

Council Member Romero stated his "nay" vote was because the testimony given earlier by Mr. Prince was not part of the record; it was simply his interpretation of the events. It could not be verified by the Council. He felt the Council's initial decision was proper.

Mr. Park stated the prior motion had been reconsidered, so this issue still needed to be resolved. He also suggested re-endorsing the directive to the Auditor and District Attorney to come forward with suggestions to improve the ordinance.

Council Member Romero asked that the vote be bifurcated into two parts.

A motion was made by Council Member Johnson, seconded by Council Member Stringham, to void the tax sale and relist the property at the next County tax sale. The motion carried 4 to 3 by the following roll call vote.

Aye: Council Member Johnson, Council Member Moreno, Council Member Pinkney, Council Member Stringham

Nay: Council Member Harrison, Council Member Romero, Council Member Theodore

A motion was made by Council Member Johnson, seconded by Council Member Moreno, to direct the District Attorney's Office and the Auditor's Office to analyze the ordinances at issue, take into account all the public comment that has been given today, and propose recommended safeguards to ensure this type of situation does not happen again. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

11. PENDING LEGISLATIVE BUSINESS

11.1 Consideration of a Resolution Approving an Amendment to the Interlocal Agreement Between Salt Lake County and the Community Development and Renewal Agency of Herriman regarding the County's Participation in the Herriman Innovation District Community Reinvestment Project Area 26-682

Presenter: Kersten Swinyard, Economic Development Director (Less than 5 minutes)

Discussion - Vote Needed

Attachments:
1. Resolution - Herriman Innovation District CRA Amendment_RATF
2. Amendment 1 - Herriman Innovation District CRA_RATF

Ms. Kersten Swinyard, Economic Development Director, Office of Regional Development, reviewed items 11.1 through 11.3 concurrently. She explained that they all dealt with the same issue, which was the explicit clarification that the County Library Increment is excluded from these three tax increment financing (TIF) project areas. They were inadvertently added for tax year 2025, and these three resolutions would simply fix that error.

RESOLUTION NO. 6405

A RESOLUTION OF THE SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL APPROVING AN AMENDMENT TO THE INTERLOCAL COOPERATION AGREEMENT BETWEEN SALT LAKE COUNTY AND THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AND RENEWAL AGENCY OF HERRIMAN REGARDING THE COUNTY'S PARTICIPATION IN THE HERRIMAN INNOVATION DISTRICT COMMUNITY REINVESTMENT PROJECT AREA

WITNESSETH

WHEREAS, Salt Lake County and the Community Development and Renewal Agency of Herriman (the "Agency") entered into an interlocal cooperation agreement that provides for the County's contribution of tax increment funds to carry out the project area plan for the Herriman Innovation District Community Reinvestment Project Area;

WHEREAS, the County and the Agency desire to amend the agreement to clarify their mutual understanding and intent that revenue generated by the County's Library tax levy is not to be included in the County's contribution;

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 5.2 of Salt Lake County Countywide Policy 1155, a public hearing was held at an open and public meeting;

AND WHEREAS, following the public hearing, it has been determined that the best interests of the County and the general public will be served by execution of the attached amendment.

RESOLUTION

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED, by the Salt Lake County Council that the attached amendment is hereby approved; and the mayor is hereby authorized to execute said amendment, a copy of which is attached as Attachment "A and by this reference made a part of this resolution.

APPROVED and ADOPTED this 14th day of July, 2026.

SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL

ATTEST

By /s/ AIMEE WINDER NEWTON
Chair

By /s/LANNIE CHAPMAN
Salt Lake County Clerk

A motion was made by Council Member Pinkney, seconded by Council Member Romero, that this agenda item be approved. The motion carried by a unanimous vote. Council Members Harrison and Moreno were absent for the vote.

11.2 Consideration of a Resolution Approving an Amendment to the Interlocal Agreement Between Salt Lake County and the Redevelopment Agency of Midvale City regarding the County's Participation in the Midvale Main Street Community Development Project Area 26-683

Presenter: Kersten Swinyard, Economic Development Director
(Less than 5 minutes)

Discussion - Vote Needed

Attachments:
1. Amendment 2 - Midvale Main Street CDA_RATF
2. Resolution - Midvale Main Street CDA Amendment_RATF

Ms. Kersten Swinyard, Economic Development Director, Office of Regional Development, reviewed items 11.1 through 11.3 concurrently.

RESOLUTION NO. 6406

A RESOLUTION OF THE SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL APPROVING AN AMENDMENT TO THE INTERLOCAL COOPERATION AGREEMENT BETWEEN SALT LAKE COUNTY AND THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF MIDVALE CITY REGARDING THE COUNTY'S PARTICIPATION IN THE MIDVALE MAIN STREET COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA

WITNESSETH

WHEREAS, Salt Lake County and the Redevelopment Agency of Midvale City (the "Agency") entered into an interlocal cooperation agreement that provides for the County's contribution of tax increment funds to carry out the project area plan for the Midvale Main Street Community Development Project Area;

WHEREAS, the County and the Agency desire to amend the agreement to clarify their mutual understanding and intent that revenue generated by the County's Library tax levy is not to be included in the County's contribution;

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 5.2 of Salt Lake County Countywide Policy 1155, a public hearing was held at an open and public meeting;

AND WHEREAS, following the public hearing, it has been determined that the best interests of the County and the general public will be served by execution of the attached amendment.

RESOLUTION

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED, by the Salt Lake County Council that the attached amendment is hereby approved; and the mayor is hereby authorized to execute said amendment, a copy of which is attached as Attachment "A and by this reference made a part of this resolution.

APPROVED and ADOPTED this 14th day of July, 2026.

SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL

ATTEST

By /s/ AIMEE WINDER NEWTON
Chair

By /s/LANNIE CHAPMAN
Salt Lake County Clerk

A motion was made by Council Member Pinkney, seconded by Council Member Romero, that this agenda item be approved. The motion carried by a unanimous vote. Council Members Harrison and Moreno were absent for the vote.

11.3 Consideration of a Resolution Approving an Amendment to the Interlocal Agreement Between Salt Lake County and the Millcreek Community Reinvestment Agency regarding the County's Participation in the Woodland Avenue Community Reinvestment Project Area 26-684

Presenter: Kersten Swinyard, Economic Development Director
(Less than 5 minutes)

Discussion - Vote Needed

Attachments:
1. Amendment 1 - Millcreek Woodland Avenue CRA_RATF
2. Resolution - Woodland Avenue CRA Amendment_RATF

Ms. Kersten Swinyard, Economic Development Director, Office of Regional Development, reviewed items 11.1 through 11.3 concurrently.

RESOLUTION NO. 6407

A RESOLUTION OF THE SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL APPROVING AN AMENDMENT TO THE INTERLOCAL COOPERATION AGREEMENT BETWEEN SALT LAKE COUNTY AND THE MILLCREEK COMMUNITY REINVESTMENT AGENCY REGARDING THE COUNTY'S PARTICIPATION IN THE WOODLAND AVENUE COMMUNITY REINVESTMENT PROJECT AREA

WITNESSETH

WHEREAS, Salt Lake County and the Millcreek Community Reinvestment Agency (the "Agency") entered into an interlocal cooperation agreement that provides for the County's contribution of tax increment funds to carry out the project area plan for the Woodland Avenue Community Reinvestment Project Area;

WHEREAS, the County and the Agency desire to amend the agreement to clarify their mutual understanding and intent that revenue generated by the County's Library tax levy is not to be included in the County's contribution;

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 5.2 of Salt Lake County Countywide Policy 1155, a public hearing was held at an open and public meeting;

AND WHEREAS, following the public hearing, it has been determined that the best interests of the County and the general public will be served by execution of the attached amendment.

RESOLUTION

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED, by the Salt Lake County Council that the attached amendment is hereby approved; and the mayor is hereby authorized to execute said amendment, a copy of which is attached as Attachment "A and by this reference made a part of this resolution.

APPROVED and ADOPTED this 14th day of July, 2026.

SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL

ATTEST

By /s/ AIMEE WINDER NEWTON
Chair

By /s/LANNIE CHAPMAN
Salt Lake County Clerk

A motion was made by Council Member Pinkney, seconded by Council Member Romero, that this agenda item be approved. The motion carried by a unanimous vote. Council Members Harrison and Moreno were absent for the vote.

11.4 Consideration of a Resolution of the Salt Lake County Council Approving and Authorizing the Execution of an Interlocal Cooperation Agreement with Sandy City, South Jordan City, West Jordan City, West Valley City, Herriman City, and Taylorsville City Relating to the Conduct of the Home Investment Partnership Program for the Federal Fiscal Years 2027 Through 2029 26-696

Presenter: Lauren Littlefield, Director of Operations, Office of Regional Development
(10 minutes)

Discussion - Vote Needed

Attachments:
1. HOME Consortium Renewal 2027-2030_Combined
2. HUDEntitlementGrantsCouncil Pres_DRAFT
3. SLCo COUNCIL Resolution Re. ILA with 6 Cities HOME Program - (Rev.1 07.02.26)
4. RES R27-2026_HomeILA

Ms. Lauren Littlefield, Director of Operations, Office of Regional Development, delivered a PowerPoint presentation entitled HOME Consortium Renewals. She reviewed Housing and Community Development in the Office of Regional Development; US Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) entitlement funds; HUD entitlement funding amounts; Salt Lake County | Office of Regional Development HUD entitlement funds deployed through the Office of Regional Development; the process for deployment; partnerships with cities; urban county and home consortium; and interlocal agreement approvals.

RESOLUTION NO. 6408

A RESOLUTION OF THE SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL APPROVING AND AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF AN INTERLOCAL COOPERATION AGREEMENT WITH SANDY CITY, SOUTH JORDAN CITY, WEST JORDAN CITY, WEST VALLEY CITY, HERRIMAN CITY, AND TAYLORSVILLE

CITY RELATING TO THE CONDUCT OF THE HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM FOR THE FEDERAL FISCAL YEARS 2027 THROUGH 2029.

RECITALS

WHEREAS, the Salt Lake County Council does hereby determine that it is in the public interest and welfare of the residents of Salt Lake County (“County”) that County engage in a cooperative effort with West Valley City, South Jordan City, West Jordan City, Sandy City, Harriman City, and Taylorsville City for the conduct of the HOME Investment Partnership Program (“HOME”) in the County under and pursuant to the Cranston-Gonzalez National Affordable Housing Act of 1990;

WHEREAS, an Interlocal Cooperation Agreement (“Agreement”) has been prepared for approval and execution by and between County and Sandy City, South Jordan City, West Jordan City, West Valley City, Sandy City, Harriman City, and Taylorsville City, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 1, pursuant to which the HOME program will be undertaken and administered. That Agreement states the purposes thereof, and the extent of the required participation of the Parties and the rights, duties, responsibilities, and obligations of the Parties in the conduct and administration of the HOME program as specified therein; and

WHEREAS, under the Utah Interlocal Cooperation Act, Utah Code Annotated, 11-13-101 et seq., (2019) any two or more public agencies, as defined therein, may enter into agreements with one another for joint or cooperative action and may also contract with each other to perform any governmental service activity or undertaking which each public agency entering into the contract is authorized by law to perform.

RESOLUTION

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Salt Lake County Council that the aforementioned Interlocal Cooperation Agreement between Salt Lake County and Sandy City, South Jordan City, West Jordan City, West Valley City, Sandy City, Harriman City, and Taylorsville City relating to the HOME Investment Partnership Program effective as of October 1, 2026, is hereby accepted and approved by the Council and the Mayor is hereby authorized to execute the same on behalf of Salt Lake County.

APPROVED and ADOPTED this 14th day of July, 2026.

SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL

ATTEST

By /s/ AIMEE WINDER NEWTON

Chair

By /s/LANNIE CHAPMAN
Salt Lake County Clerk

A motion was made by Council Member Pinkney, seconded by Council Member Romero, that this agenda item be approved. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

11.5 Consideration of a Salt Lake County Council Resolution Authorizing the Filing of Appeals for 2026 Centrally Assessed Properties 26-697

Presenter: Jason Rose, Division Administrator, District Attorney's Office (5 minutes)

Discussion - Vote Needed

Attachments:
1. 2026 Appeals Coverletter
2. Resolution in 2026 Appeals

Mr. Jason Rose, Deputy District Attorney, stated centrally assessed properties are assessed by the State Tax Commission in specified industries. When taxpayers appeal those, Council authorization is needed for the District Attorney's Office to protect the County's interests. This resolution accomplishes that.

RESOLUTION NO. 6409

SALT LAEK COUNTY COUNCIL RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE FILING OF APPEALS FOR 2026 CENTRALLY ASSESSED PROPERTIES
A RESOLUTION OF THE SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL APPROVING THE FILING OF APPEALS BEFORE THE UTAH STATE TAX COMMISSION

IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED BY THE SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL THAT:

WHEREAS, Utah Code §59-2-1007(2) allows a county to object to an assessment and request a hearing with the Utah State Tax Commission under certain circumstances;

WHEREAS, Southwest Airlines has filed an appeal for their 2026 assessments and other taxpayer-initiated appeals are expected; and

WHEREAS, it is in the County's best interest that the County file appeals to protect the County's financial interests and the equality of the tax burdens of taxpayers within its jurisdiction.

NOW, THEREFORE, the Salt Lake County Council resolves as follows:

1. That the District Attorney has authority to file a cross-appeal responding to Southwest Airlines.

2. That the District Attorney has authority to file protective appeals to any other appeal initiated by a taxpayer, and that the County be permitted to join as its appeals with appeals of other counties; and

3. That the County Mayor direct the appeals under the advice of the District Attorney.

APPROVED and ADOPTED this 14th day of July, 2026.

SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL

ATTEST

By /s/ AIMEE WINDER NEWTON
Chair

By /s/LANNIE CHAPMAN
Salt Lake County Clerk

A motion was made by Council Member Romero, seconded by Council Member Johnson, that this agenda item be approved. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

11.6 Final Adoption of an Ordinance Enacting a Temporary Land Use Regulation Allowing Construction of a Temporary Road on Slopes in Excess of 50% on Certain Property for the Rocky Mountain Power Transmission Line Replacement Project 26-671

Presenter: Curtis Woodward, MSD Senior Planner; Zach Shaw, Senior Civil Attorney
(Less than 5 minutes)

Discussion - Vote Needed

Attachments:
1. temporary land use regulation ordinance_final

2. Temporary Land Use Regulation staff report_final

ORDINANCE NO. 1957

AN ORDINANCE ENACTING A TEMPORARY LAND USE REGULATION ALLOWING CONSTRUCTION OF A TEMPORARY ROAD ON SLOPES IN EXCESS OF 50% ON CERTAIN PROPERTY FOR THE ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER TRANSMISSION LINE REPLACEMENT PROJECT

The County Legislative Body of Salt Lake County ordains as follows:

WHEREAS, Utah Code section 17-79-504 allows counties, without a public hearing and planning commission recommendation, to enact ordinances establishing temporary land use regulations for any part or all of the unincorporated county if the county's legislative body makes a finding of compelling, countervailing public interest; and

WHEREAS, Salt Lake County Code section 19.72.080 of the Foothills and Canyons Overlay Zone ("FCOZ") requires motor vehicle access to a development site via road (including private access road), but prohibits roads to cross slopes greater than 50%; and

WHEREAS, Salt Lake County Code section 19.72.190 of FCOZ permits waivers from slope limits for public uses, but does not permit motorized vehicle roads to be constructed on slopes greater than 50%; and

WHEREAS, Rocky Mountain Power, through its contractor, Summit Line Construction, is in the process of replacing its transmission lines within Parley's Canyon ("project"), with a central purpose of the project being mitigation of wildfire risks by replacing older transmission line facilities; and

WHEREAS Salt Lake County's drought conditions are currently classified as severe to extreme, which exacerbates the wildfire risk; and

WHEREAS a wildfire caused by older transmission line facilities would create significant harm to the health and safety of Salt Lake County citizens, their property, and tax revenue collected from those citizens; and

WHEREAS a temporary road must be constructed on Salt Lake County parcel no. 16-24-300-005 ("the property") to access poles to be replaced as part of the project; and

WHEREAS Salt Lake County's planning staff has reviewed possible routes for this temporary road, and the recommended route crosses slopes in excess of 50%; and

WHEREAS the recommended route avoids alternative routes' destruction of significant trees and crossing/disturbance of a stream corridor, which is consistent with the Foothills and Canyons Overlay Zone's purpose of preserving trees and vegetation and protecting stream corridors, and therefore promotes the health, safety, and welfare of Salt Lake County residents; and

WHEREAS the risk of erosion created by development of a temporary road on steep slopes can be mitigated by revegetation and reclamation of the temporary road immediately upon completion of installation of transmission lines on the property; and

WHEREAS at the time FCOZ's slope standards were enacted in 1997, consideration could not have been given to the specialized nature of the proposed temporary road on the property, nor the urgency of the project to be completed in 2026; and

WHEREAS, the County finds that, although the slope standards in FCOZ are important to the general welfare of Salt Lake County's residents and visitors, allowing construction of a temporary road across slopes in excess of 50% on the property to replace power transmission lines to mitigate wildfire risk, and to avoid constructing the temporary road in a place that would destroy multiple trees, cross a stream, and disturb a stream bank, are compelling, countervailing public interests that justify a temporary land use regulation to modify the slope limits adopted in Salt Lake County Code sections 19.72.080(D) and Table 19.16.2 for a brief period; and

WHEREAS this temporary land use regulation only applies to the temporary road constructed on parcel no. 16-24-300-005.

NOW THEREFORE, be it ordained by the Salt Lake County Council:

SECTION I. Finding of compelling, countervailing public interest. Pursuant to Utah Code Section 17-79-504, the Salt Lake County Council finds that mitigating wildfire risk in severe to extreme drought conditions by replacing older transmission line facilities in 2026, together with avoiding destruction of trees and disturbance of a stream bed, are compelling, countervailing public interests that are sufficient to justify these temporary land use regulations to allow construction of a temporary road on the property across slopes in excess of 50%.

SECTION II. Balancing of Public vs. Private Interests. The county council further finds that any harm to private interests is de minimus due to immediate eclamation/revegetation of the temporary road after installation of transmission facilities, and is outweighed by the county's interest in protecting against the increased wildfire risk in severe to extreme drought conditions created by older transmission facilities.

SECTION III. Temporary zoning regulations. Notwithstanding any other ordinance which the county council may have adopted that may provide otherwise, during the period of this temporary land use regulation, Salt Lake County Code section 19.72.190, Table 19.16.2 shall be modified to include footnote 1, which shall appear and read as follows:

TABLE 19.16.2: PERMISSIBLE SLOPE RANGES FOR ELIGIBLE DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES	
Authority to Grant Waivers	
Slope Range	Eligible Development Activities
Thirty percent or less	• No slope waiver required
Greater than thirty percent up to forty percent	• All development activities associated with allowed uses
Greater than forty percent up to fifty percent	• Pedestrian trails • Non-motorized vehicle trails • Motorized vehicle roads and trails for emergency or maintenance purposes • Ski runs, ski lifts and supporting appurtenances and other mountain resort accessory activities
Greater than fifty percent[1]	• Pedestrian trails • Non-motorized vehicle trails • Ski runs, ski lifts and supporting appurtenances and other mountain resort accessory activities

[1]A temporary road on parcel no. 16-24-300-005 for use by motorized vehicles to replace a power transmission line may be constructed on slopes in excess of 50%, but not to exceed 85%, so long as the road is immediately removed by restoring to the approximate original grade and the area revegetated in accordance with section 19.72.110(E) upon completion of the transmission facilities on the property, weather and seasonal conditions permitting.

SECTION IV. Duration. These temporary land use regulations shall remain in effect for a period of six (6) months from the effective date of this ordinance, or until the effective date of the county council's action adopting revisions to the county's land use regulation pertaining to the areas and issues identified above, whichever occurs first.

SECTION V. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective upon publication.

APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 14th day of July, 2026.

SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL

ATTEST

By /s/ AIMEE WINDER NEWTON
Chair

By /s/LANNIE CHAPMAN
Salt Lake County Clerk

A motion was made by Council Member Harrison, seconded by Council Member Johnson, that this agenda item be approved. The motion carried by a 6 to 1 vote with Council Member Romero voting "nay."

11. 7 Consideration of a Resolution of the Salt Lake County Council Authorizing the Execution of a Purchase and Sale Agreement Regarding the Acquisition of Parcels of Real Property Located in Salt Lake County 26-736

Presenter: Justin Hawes, Real Estate Director; Arlyn Bradshaw, Associate Deputy Mayor
(15 minutes)

Discussion - Vote Needed

Attachments:
1. Resolution Authorizing Purchase of AXIS BUILDING - RAFL - (Rev.1 07.14.26)

This item was pulled from the agenda.

12. OTHER ITEMS REQUIRING COUNCIL APPROVAL

13. OTHER BUSINESS

ADJOURN

THERE BEING NO FURTHER BUSINESS to come before the Council at this time, the meeting was adjourned at 3:36 PM until Tuesday, July 21, 2026.

LANNIE CHAPMAN, COUNTY CLERK

By______________________________
DEPUTY CLERK

By________________________________
CHAIR, SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL