

SALT LAKE COUNTY

2001 So. State Street
Salt Lake City, UT 84114
(385) 468-7500 TTY 711



Meeting Minutes

Tuesday, August 18, 2026

1:30 PM

Council Chambers, Room N1-110

County Council

1. CALL TO ORDER

Invocation - Reading or Thought - Pledge of Allegiance

Present: Council Member Suzanne Harrison
Council Member Laurie Stringham
Council Member Natalie Pinkney
Council Member Jiro Johnson
Council Member Carlos Moreno
Council Member Aimee Winder Newton
Council Member Ross Romero
Council Member Dea Theodore

Excused: Council Member Sheldon Stewart

Invocation - Reading or Thought - Pledge of Allegiance

Ms. Lauren Duke, Administrative Coordinator, Council Office, led the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America.

1.1 Quorum Call—Roll Call Vote

Roll was called, showing Council Member Stewart was excused.

2. PUBLIC COMMENT

Ms. Keiko Jones stated she had asked the members of the Council, at the July 21, 2026, meeting, to visit the River's Bend Senior Center so they could see firsthand some of the challenges being faced by the center. She reported that Council Member Johnson toured the facility last week. She appreciated being heard.

Ms. Diane Bain stated she was grateful that Council Member Johnson, along with a representative from the Mayor's Office, visited the River's Bend Senior Center. The River's Bend Senior Center is currently attempting to meet the needs of seniors who were once served by other senior centers. The Tenth East Senior Center is under construction and the Sunday Anderson Senior Center closed in 2025. Patrons from the

Liberty Senior Center are also being redirected to River's Bend. The center only has two vans and two drivers, which has resulted in there being a waiting list for senior pickups. The Utah Transit Authority (UTA) also has a waiting list. Ms. Bain hoped there would be an open discourse and future conversations about the needs of the River's Bend Senior Center.

Ms. Jessica Wiarda spoke on behalf of The Wasatch Assembly regarding concerns over the recent conduct of U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE). She stated that the local government can choose to actively protect its residents from ICE abuse. There is a lot of local confusion about where the County stands on this issue.

3. REPORT OF ELECTED OFFICIALS:

3.1 County Council Members

Council Member Harrison stated the governance group for the Leifman initiative met this morning. It was an excellent meeting. Also, the Crisis Response Taskforce, which she chairs, met last week and was able to find a funding solution for voluntary transport. It is working on a 911 protocol change so that when people call 911, they will get routed to the proper treatment and care.

Council Member Theodore stated there was a wild rainstorm in Sandy that affected several homes. Also, she was glad for the nonpartisan work the Leifman group was accomplishing. There was a lot of good momentum. She visited the Wide Hollow Trailhead in Herriman over the weekend, which was made possible through Tourism, Recreation, Cultural, and Convention (TRCC) funds. She encouraged everyone to check it out.

Council Member Moreno sent his condolences to all the families affected by the recent church funeral shooting in Kearns.

Council Member Stringham stated she was glad that the police who responded to the shooting were able to address the situation without entering the funeral. She attended the CANstruction award ceremony for the America250 initiative that took place last week. It was a successful event that brought awareness of food insecurity. The Westside CultureFest took place at the Mid-Valley Performing Arts Center last

week. She enjoyed seeing all the performances. She also attended an America250 celebration last night that was held at the State Capitol.

Council Member Winder Newton stated she and her husband also enjoyed attending the Westside CultureFest, which was free of charge. She hoped to help promote this event next year.

3.2 County Mayor

Mayor Jennifer Wilson made the following announcements:

The Mayor was glad Council Members Theodore and Harrison were able to attend the event today. There were more events scheduled to take place over the next two days, and all Council members were invited to attend.

Katy Fleury, Senior Policy Advisor, Mayor's Office, would be stepping into the role of the lead position for the Leifman Group initiative. Katherine Fife, Associate Deputy Mayor of County Services, would also be kept on the project.

3.3 Other Elected County Officials

4. APPROVAL OF COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES

4.1 Approval of August 4, 2026, County Council Minutes

26-788

Attachments:

1. 08-04-2026 Council Minutes

A motion was made by Council Member Johnson, seconded by Council Member Harrison, that this agenda item be approved. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

5. WORK SESSION

5.1 Informational Update on the Proposed Hire Report / Incentive Plans - \$3000 and Under / Weekly Reclassification Report 26-793

Presenter: Hoa Nguyen, Council Budget and Policy Analyst
(Less than 5 minutes)

Informational

Attachments:

1. Proposed Hire Report - 8-12-2026
2. Incentive Plans - \$3,000 and Under 8-12-2026
3. Weekly Reclassification Report 8-12-2026

Ms. Hoa Nguyen, Budget and Policy Analyst, Council Office, reviewed the new hires, reclassifications, and incentive plans.

5.2 Budget Adjustment: Office of Homelessness and Criminal Justice Reform Requests to Recognize \$140,000 from the Legislative Appropriation for the Youth Violence Prevention Project. This Budget Funds One Time-Limited Salt Lake County Youth Violence Intervention Coordinator. Two Additional Coordinators Will Be Funded and Employed by Weber County and Utah County Through This Regional Partnership 26-794

Presenter: Hoa Nguyen, Council Budget and Policy Analyst
(Less than 5 Minutes)

Discussion - Vote Needed

Attachments:

1. 39747 - Youth Violence Prevention Project

Ms. Hoa Nguyen, Budget and Policy Analyst, Council Office, reviewed the budget adjustment.

A motion was made by Council Member Johnson, seconded by Council Member Moreno, that this agenda item be approved. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

6. PUBLIC HEARINGS AND ISSUANCE OF PUBLIC NOTICES

6.1 Hold a Public Hearing Pursuant to Salt Lake County Ordinance 3.36.030(3) to Receive Comment on the Proposed Property Transfer to Create a Trailhead and Connection Point for the Shoreline Trail 26-746

Attachments:

1. SLCC Trails MP with Shoreline
2. Shoreline Trail Illustrative
3. Daybreak Trails Map-Shoreline Trailhead

A motion was made by Council Member Harrison, seconded by Council Member Moreno, to open the public hearing. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

Mayor Dawn Ramsey, South Jordan City, stated this trailhead would be located in South Jordan City. It was part of a three-way land swap that utilized some smaller parcels of land across South Jordan City. The trailhead would be beneficial in many ways.

Mayor Dirk Burton, West Jordan City, stated the trail would also go through West Jordan City. He thanked the Council for working on this.

Ms. Kathryn Ferriello spoke in opposition to a recent decision at the Steiner Aquatic Center that would disallow private lessons to be held during public skating time. As a former physical education teacher and lifeguard, Ms. Ferriello was concerned this policy change would make things less safe because it would push beginners into the free-skate area where experienced skaters perform more risky stunts.

A motion was made by Council Member Harrison, seconded by Council Member Johnson, to close the public hearing. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

7. PENDING LEGISLATIVE BUSINESS

7.1 Consideration of a Resolution of the Salt Lake County Council Authorizing a Real Property Exchange Between Salt Lake County, South Jordan City, and Doug Young, Providing for the Conveyance of Marv Jensen Park in Exchange for Property to be Developed as a Trailhead Park 26-741

Presenter: Andrew Roberts, Chief of Staff to Mayor Jenny Wilson
(5 minutes)

Discussion - Vote Needed

Attachments:

1. Daybreak Trails Map-Shoreline Trailhead
2. Shoreline Trail Illustrative
3. SLCC Trails MP with Shoreline
4. Resolution Authorizing the Transfer of MJ Park for Property in Developer's Shoreline Development - Draft - (Rev.2 07.15.26)

Mayor Jennifer Wilson stated this project had been in the works for eight years. It was complex, but it would serve the interests of the south-west corridor, South Jordan City, and its residents. Mayor Wilson read a statement from Scott Thomas, Administrator, Jordan School District, into the record:

Jordan School District would like to extend our support of this resolution and the proposed land trade. We greatly appreciate our involvement in past discussions leading up to this opportunity for our communities.

Mayor Wilson stated Andrew Roberts, Chief of Staff, Mayor's Office, broke through many barriers on this project over the last few months.

Mr. Andrew Roberts, Chief of Staff, Mayor's Office, stated this was a fantastic opportunity to connect the east and west sides of the County through a single trail. It was made possible through South Jordan City, the Jordan School District, and a private developer who came together, got creative, and ironed everything out. With the developer's contribution, the County will come out ahead financially.

RESOLUTION NO. 6415

A RESOLUTION OF THE SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL AUTHORIZING A REAL PROPERTY EXCHANGE BETWEEN SALT LAKE COUNTY, SOUTH JORDAN CITY, AND DOUG YOUNG, PROVIDING FOR THE CONVEYANCE OF MARV JENSEN PARK IN EXCHANGE FOR PROPERTY TO BE DEVELOPED AS A TRAILHEAD PARK

RECITALS

WHEREAS, Salt Lake County ("County") is the owner of certain real property commonly known as Marv Jensen Park, located at 10302 South Redwood Road, South Jordan, Utah, consisting of Parcel Nos. 27-15-126-015, 27-15-126-017, and 27-15-126-018, comprising approximately 15.620 acres (680,407 square feet), with an appraised fair market value of Five Million Two Hundred Thirty Thousand Dollars (\$5,230,000.00) (the "MJ Park"); and

WHEREAS, Doug Young ("Developer") has proposed to convey to South Jordan City ("City") certain real property located within the Developer's Shoreline development (the "Shoreline Parcel"), which the Developer values at Eleven Million Two Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$11,200,000.00) and which the County's appraisal values at Two Million Seven Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$2,700,000.00); and

WHEREAS, in addition to conveying the Shoreline Parcel, the Developer has agreed to invest approximately Three Million Five Hundred Ninety Thousand Dollars (\$3,590,000.00) toward the construction of concessions, recreational improvements, and other amenities on the Shoreline Parcel; and

WHEREAS, under the proposed transaction, the Developer will convey the Shoreline Parcel to the City, the City will subsequently convey the Shoreline Parcel to the County, and the County will convey MJ Park to the City as part of the overall land exchange: and

WHEREAS, as consideration for the proposed transaction, the County will receive title to the Shoreline Parcel, which the County has appraised at Two Million Seven Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$2,700,000.00), together with the Developer's contractual obligation to expend approximately Three Million Five Hundred Ninety Thousand Dollars (\$3,590,000.00) to construct concessions, recreational improvements, and other agreed-upon amenities on the Shoreline Parcel; and

WHEREAS, in exchange for the Shoreline Parcel and the Developer's contractual obligation to construct such improvements, the County will convey MJ Park, with an appraised value of Five Million Two Hundred Thirty Thousand Dollars (\$5,230,000.00), and provide an additional cash contribution in the amount of One Million Seven Hundred Ninety-One Thousand One Hundred Ninety-Four Dollars (\$1,791,194.00), for total County consideration of Seven Million Twenty-One Thousand One Hundred Ninety-Four Dollars (\$7,021,194.00).

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Salt Lake County Council that the proposed transaction providing for the exchange of Marv Jensen Park for the Shoreline Parcel, together with the Developer's contractual obligation to construct the concessions, recreational improvement, and other agreed-upon amenities thereon, is hereby approved.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Salt Lake County Mayor, or the Mayor's Designee, is authorized to negotiate, execute, and deliver all agreements, deeds, easements, closing documents, and other instruments, and to take such additional actions as may be necessary or appropriate to consummate the transaction substantially in accordance with the terms described herein, subject to approval as to form and legality by the Salt Lake County District Attorney's Office.

APPROVED and ADOPTED this 18th of August, 2026.

SALT LAKE COUNTY, UTAH

ATTEST

By /s/ AIMEE WINDER NEWTON
Council Chair

By /s/LANNIE CHAPMAN
Salt Lake County Clerk

A motion was made by Council Member Moreno, seconded by Council Member Johnson, that this agenda item be approved. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

7.2 First Reading of an Ordinance Adopting Unincorporated 26-791
Salt Lake County Resource Management Plan Update

Presenter: Andrea Moser, Principal, Bio-West Inc; Zach Shaw, Senior Civil Attorney
(15 minutes)

Discussion - Vote Needed

Attachments:

1. Ordinance Approving CRMP Amendment_RAFL
2. CRMP_Amendment_FinalDraft
3. OAM2026-001645 - CRMP Amendment Staff Report County Council
4. CRMP_Amendment_Presentation_SLCo_FINAL

Ms. Claudia Wiese, Canyons Management Program Director, Office of Regional Development, stated the presentation today would be for an amendment to the County Resource Management Plan to comply with legislation that was passed in 2021. The amendment would add the following four chapters as directed by the legislation: renewable energy, critical minerals, utility corridors, and pipelines and infrastructure.

Mr. Sean Keenan, Environmental Planner, Bio-West, delivered a PowerPoint presentation entitled Salt Lake County Resource Management Plan Amendment. He reviewed the members of the project team; a background; current Resource Management Plan resources; the purpose of the amendment; the scope of the amendment; project development; project stakeholders; a timeline and stakeholder engagement; planning

documents reviewed; proposed amendment findings and recommendations; Chapter 1: Renewable Energy; Chapter 2: Critical Minerals; Chapter 3: Utility Corridors; and Chapter 4: Pipelines and Infrastructure; and the review process.

Ms. Weise stated both planning commissions have reviewed the presentation and both recommended adoption of the ordinance.

A motion was made by Council Member Johnson, seconded by Council Member Romero, that this agenda item be forwarded to the August 25, 2026, Council meeting for final consideration. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

7.3 Consideration of a Resolution Declaring the Policy of Salt Lake County Regarding Water-Intensive Industrial Development and the Protection of Regional Water Resources 26-792

Presenter: Natalie Pinkney, Salt Lake County Council Member; Jiro Johnson, Salt Lake County Council Member; Suzanne Harrison, Salt Lake County Council Member
(15 minutes)

Discussion - Vote Needed

Attachments:

1. Resolution Water Intensive Facilities_RATF_30July2026
2. Water_Resolution_Slide_Deck 1

Council Member Pinkney reviewed the resolution. She explained she felt called to sponsor the resolution because of what has been taking place on the national scale. She spoke about Ignatian spirituality, the practice of finding the sacred in ordinary things. She also spoke of the Latin phrase *cura personalis*, which means "care for the whole person." She stated water is not merely a resource; it is sacred. She delivered a PowerPoint presentation entitled A Resolution Declaring the Policy of the Salt Lake County Council regarding Water-Intensive Industrial Development. She reviewed the following slides: Why This Matters; The Great Salt Lake Crisis; Toxic Dust and Public Health; Data Centers are Uniquely Water-Intensive; Could This Actually Happen Here?;

Salt Lake City Already Acted; What this Resolution Does (Policy Declaration; Planning Commission Referral Process; Interim Study Directives; State Advocacy); Staying Within Our Legal Authority; Why This Order; A Vote for Basic Due Diligence; and Motion to Amend.

A motion was made by Council Member Pinkney, seconded by Council Member Johnson, that this resolution be adopted with a new section directing Council legal counsel to work with the District Attorney's Office to prepare a temporary land use ordinance, consistent with Utah Code §17-79-504, for Council consideration. This temporary ordinance would impose a moratorium for up to 180 days on the acceptance, review process, and approval of any land use application permit development agreement, and other land use or development approval associated with a data center or data center power plants in unincorporated Salt Lake County. The motion failed 4 to 4 by the following roll call vote.

Aye: Council Member Harrison, Council Member Johnson, Council Member Pinkney, Council Member Romero

Nay: Council Member Moreno, Council Member Stringham, Council Member Theodore, Council Member Winder Newton

A motion was made by Council Member Winder Newton, seconded by Council Member Stringham, to direct the Council's legal counsel to work with the District Attorney's Office to prepare a temporary land use ordinance consistent with Utah Code §17-79-504 for Council consideration at next week's meeting. This ordinance would be modeled on similar ordinances recently approved by the county legislative bodies of Box Elder, Cache, and Iron counties, and should enact a 180-day moratorium for the filing or processing of any land use applications, permits, development agreement, or any other land use or development approval associated with uses related to data centers in the unincorporated county. The Council would also request that its planners begin exploring options for a potential ordinance revision related to data centers in coordination with the District Attorney's Office and the Office of Regional Development staff.

Council Member Pinkney asked if Council Member Winder Newton's motion did the same thing as the failed motion other than taking out sections three and four. She felt the language was identical.

Council Member Winder Newton stated she did not think the language was identical. She felt the process should be started, but there were parts of the resolution that were concerning to some of the Council members.

Council Member Harrison stated she would support this because it would pass, and it would get the Council a step in the right direction.

Council Member Romero stated he was also inclined to support this, but he did understand Council Member Pinkney's point. He hoped there would be time later for Council Member Pinkney's broader vision.

Council Member Johnson stated he was frustrated because he felt Council Member Pinkney's resolution was well fleshed out. However, if this was what would pass, he would support it.

A motion was made by Council Member Pinkney, seconded by Council Member Stringham, to call the question. The motion failed by a 4 to 4 vote, with Council Members Harrison, Johnson, Romero, and Winder Newton voting "Nay."

Mayor Jennifer Wilson stated Council Member Pinkney's passion regarding this issue was aligned with the need for very intentional resource management in Salt Lake County. However, the County's footprint in unincorporated area is decreasing. If multiple townships had not recently incorporated over the last few years, the conversation would be very different. Given that the County is the canyon management entity, she felt soft advocacy was needed. The County should look at how the Forest Service would be managing this issue. It should also look to Rio Tinto, as it is a vast landowner in Salt Lake County. There are a lot of unanswered questions here. Mayor Wilson stated she and her team did not know about this resolution ahead of time, and although she favors the need for an ordinance or likely multiple ordinances, that would require a great amount of staff time and effort. The Council would need to look to the District Attorney's Office for guidance.

A motion was made by Council Member Winder Newton, seconded by Council Member Stringham, to direct the Council's legal counsel to work with the District Attorney's Office to prepare a temporary land use ordinance consistent with Utah Code §17-79-504

for Council consideration at next week's meeting. This ordinance would be modeled on similar ordinances recently approved by the county legislative bodies of Box Elder, Cache, and Iron counties, and should enact a 180-day moratorium for the filing or processing of any land use applications, permits, development agreement, or any other land use or development approval associated with uses related to data centers in the unincorporated county. The Council would also request that its planners begin exploring options for a potential ordinance revision related to data centers in coordination with the District Attorney's Office and the Office of Regional Development staff. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

Mr. Mitchell Park asked if the Council would like this issue to come back as soon as next week.

Council Member Winder Newton stated it should be as soon as possible.

Mr. Park stated he would work quickly on this. He had already spoken with Jason Rose, Deputy District Attorney, who stated his office could also work quickly on this matter. As Council Member Pinkey pointed out, there were a lot of good examples that had been passed by neighboring counties that could be looked at.

7.4 Consideration of a Resolution Amending Resolution No. 26-765 to Provide for the Holding of a Bond Election with Respect to up to \$128,000,000 of General Obligation Bonds for Repairing, Completing, Acquiring, Constructing, or Renovating Open Space, Natural Habitat, Parks, Community Trails and Recreational and Other Related Facilities and Providing for Related Matters 26-765

Presenter: Aimee Winder Newton, Salt Lake County Council Chair; Sheldon Stewart, Salt Lake County Council Member; Dea Theodore, Salt Lake County Council Member; Laurie Stringham, Salt Lake County Council Member; Suzanne Harrison, Salt Lake County Council Member
(10 minutes)

Discussion - Vote Needed

Attachments:

1. Amended 2026 Bond Election Resolution v3 - updated net operating - RAFL

Council Member Winder Newton stated when the Council approved the recreation bond two weeks ago, it also passed legislative intent directing the Mayor's Office to work with West Jordan City about bonding capacity using Tourism, Recreation, Cultural, and Convention (TRCC) funds. Since then, it has been determined that the bonding capacity through TRCC funds is not there. Therefore, Resolution 6413 was being amended to increase the bond amount to \$128 million to include the West Jordan City and Salt Lake City projects as proposed.

Mayor Dirk Burton, West Jordan City, stated he was excited that the Council followed through with the decision it made two weeks prior. The project was important to residents of West Jordan City. He stated that Mayor Dawn Ramsey of South Jordan City asked him to relay to the Council that she was in complete support of this project.

PROCEEDINGS AMENDING IN ITS ENTIRETY RESOLUTION NO. 6413 TO
AUTHORIZE AND APPROVE THE HOLDING OF A LOCAL SPECIAL BOND
ELECTION IN RELATION TO UP TO \$128,000,000 OF GENERAL OBLIGATION
BONDS

RESOLUTION NO. 6413 (AS AMENDED)

ADOPTED AUGUST 4, 2026

AMENDED AUGUST 18, 2026

A RESOLUTION PROVIDING FOR THE HOLDING OF A LOCAL SPECIAL BOND
ELECTION IN SALT LAKE COUNTY, UTAH FOR THE PURPOSE OF
SUBMITTING TO THE QUALIFIED ELECTORS OF THE COUNTY THE
QUESTION OF THE ISSUANCE AND SALE OF \$128,000,000 GENERAL
OBLIGATION BONDS; PROVIDING FOR THE HOLDING OF A PUBLIC
HEARING AND THE PUBLICATION OF A NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING; AND
PROVIDING FOR RELATED MATTERS

WHEREAS , there is an immediate and pressing need of raising money for repairing, completing, acquiring, constructing or renovating open space, natural habitat, parks, community trails and recreational facilities and other related facilities under the charge of Salt Lake County, Utah (the “County”); and, to the extent necessary, for providing moneys for the refunding, at or prior to the maturity thereof, of general obligation bonds of the County authorized hereunder or heretofore issued and now outstanding;

WHEREAS , Section 11-14-318 of the Local Government Bonding Act, Chapter 14, Title 11 of the Utah Code Annotated 1953, as amended (the “Utah Code”) requires that a public hearing be held with respect to the issuance of such bonds for said purposes and that notice of such public hearing be given as provided by law;

WHEREAS , Sections 59-1-1604 and 59-1-1605 of the Transparency of Ballot Propositions Act, Chapter 1, Title 59 of the Utah Code require or provide for (a) preparing and posting arguments and rebuttals in favor of and against a ballot proposition and (b) holding a public meeting for presentation of arguments in favor of and against a ballot proposition;

WHEREAS , the County does not publish a newsletter or other periodical that will be published prior to the Election Day (as defined below); and

WHEREAS , the County desires to (a) hold a local special bond election to submit to the qualified electors of the County the question of the issuance of such bonds for said purposes, (b) provide for the holding of a public hearing and a public meeting, (c) establish procedures for the Local Special Bond Election Resolution submittal of arguments in favor of and against the below described ballot proposition and (d) to direct the publication and posting of a Notice of Public Hearing (the “Notice of Public Hearing”) and a Notice of Public Meeting (the “Notice of Public Meeting”);

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the County Council of Salt Lake County, Utah, as follows:

Section 1. The County Council of Salt Lake County, Utah (the “County Council”) hereby finds and determines that it is advisable to issue its general obligation bonds to address the needs of the County to provide funds for repairing, completing, acquiring, constructing or renovating open space, natural habitat, parks, community trails and

recreational facilities and other related facilities under the charge of the County, and, to the extent necessary, to provide moneys for the refunding, at or prior to the maturity thereof, of general obligation bonds of the County authorized hereunder or heretofore issued and now outstanding.

Section 2. In the judgment of the County Council, it is advisable that a local special bond election (the “Local Special Bond Election”) be called and held in the County on at the same time as the regular general election on Tuesday, November 3, 2026 (the “Election Day”), to submit to the qualified electors of the County the question of whether bonds of the County to the amount of \$128,000,000 shall be issued and sold for the purpose of raising money for providing funds for repairing, completing, acquiring, constructing or renovating open space, natural habitat, parks, community trails and recreational facilities and other related facilities under the charge of the County (collectively, the “Project”), and, to the extent necessary, for providing moneys for the refunding, at or prior to the maturity thereof, of general obligation bonds of the County authorized hereunder or heretofore issued and now outstanding.

Section 3. The question shall be submitted to the qualified electors of the County at a Local Special Bond Election and such Local Special Bond Election is hereby called to be held in the County on the Election Day. The question shall be submitted in substantially the form set out in the form of ballot appearing in Section 4 hereof.

Section 4. The ballots to be used at the Local Special Bond Election shall comply in all respects with the requirements of Sections 11-14-206, Title 20A, Chapter 6, Part 1 and Part 4 of the Utah Code, and shall be in substantially the following form:

OFFICIAL BALLOT FOR THE
SALT LAKE COUNTY, UTAH
LOCAL SPECIAL BOND ELECTION

November 3, 2026

Shall Salt Lake County, Utah, be authorized to issue general obligation bonds in an amount not to exceed \$128,000,000 and to mature in no more than eleven (11) years from the date or dates of issuance of such bonds for the purpose of providing funds for repairing, completing, acquiring, constructing or renovating open space, natural habitat, parks, community trails and recreational facilities and other related facilities under the

charge of the County and, to the extent necessary, for providing moneys for the refunding, at or prior to the maturity thereof, of general obligation bonds of the County authorized hereunder or heretofore issued and now outstanding?

PROPERTY TAX COST OF BONDS

A general obligation bond is a debt owed by the County. The County uses tax revenue to pay for the debt. If the bonds are issued as planned (which currently consists of 2 smaller issues of bonds totaling approximately \$128,000,000 over the next 5 years, with each issue of bonds maturing approximately 10 years from the date it is issued), without regard to the taxes currently levied to pay outstanding bonds that will decrease over time, an annual property tax to pay debt service on the proposed bonds will be required over a period of 10 years in the estimated amount of \$16.39 per year on a primary residence with the County average value of \$658,000 and in the estimated amount of \$29.80 per year on a business or secondary residence having the same value, which are equal to a monthly increase of \$1.37 for an average residential property and \$2.48 on a business property having the same value.

The County currently levies property taxes to pay debt service on other outstanding general obligation bonds that have been issued to finance voter approved projects. The incremental property taxes would decrease upon the repayment of the currently outstanding bonds, but the decrease may not occur if the proposed bonds are issued. Taking into account the repayment of the outstanding bonds, the County expects that the issuance of the proposed bonds, in the manner currently expected, will result in a net property tax increase of \$0 per year on a primary residence with the County average value of \$658,000 and a net property tax increase of \$0 per year on a business or secondary residence having the same value, which are equal to a monthly increase of \$0 for an average residential property and \$0 on a business property having the same value.

The foregoing is only an estimate and is not a limit on the amount of taxes that the governing body may be required to levy in order to pay debt service on the bonds. The governing body is obligated to levy taxes to the extent provided by law in order to pay the bonds.

In addition to the bond payment costs as outlined above, based on current best estimates, the average annual combined net operational and maintenance costs for these facilities

during their first ten years of operation is projected to require additional annual expenditures of \$47,102,000. If approved, the county will determine during its next budget cycle whether additional operational and maintenance costs may or may not require any additional funds from budget cuts or a tax increase.

To vote in favor of the above bond issue, select the box immediately adjacent to the words “FOR THE ISSUANCE OF BONDS.” To vote against the bond issue, select the box immediately adjacent to the words “AGAINST THE ISSUANCE OF BONDS.”

[] FOR THE ISSUANCE OF BONDS

[] AGAINST THE ISSUANCE OF BONDS

Section 5. In satisfaction of the requirements of Section 11-14-318 of the Code, a public hearing shall be held by the County Council on October 6, 2026, at 6:00 p.m., at the regular meeting place of the County Council, located in the Governmental Chambers, N1-100, 2001 South State Street, Salt Lake City, Utah, with respect to the issuance by the County of general obligation bonds, if approved by eligible voters at the Local Special Bond Election, for the purposes set forth in Section 1 and the potential economic impact the Project will have on the private sector.

Section 6. The County Clerk shall cause the “Notice of Public Hearing,” in substantially the form attached hereto as Exhibit 1, to be published as a class A notice under Section 63G-30-102 of the Code by (a) publishing a copy of such Notice on (i) the Utah Public Notice Website and (ii) the County’s Webpage and (b) posting such Notice in a public location that is reasonably likely to be seen by the residents of the County, in each case, no less than 14 days before the date set for the public hearing.

Section 7. After the adoption of this Resolution and at least 75 days before the Local Special Bond Election, a certified copy hereof, which includes the ballot title and the ballot proposition, shall be furnished on behalf of the County by Chapman and Cutler LLP, as bond counsel, to the Lieutenant Governor of the State of Utah and to the County Clerk of Salt Lake County, as election officer (the “Election Officer”).

Section 8. (a) In satisfaction of and in compliance with the requirements of Section 59-1-1604 of the Utah Code, the Mayor, or the Mayor’s designee, on behalf of the County,

shall prepare and submit to the County Clerk an argument in favor of the ballot proposition and the rebuttal to the argument against the ballot proposition, if necessary.

(b) To facilitate compliance with the requirements of Section 59-1-1604 of the Utah Code, the argument in favor of the ballot proposition prepared on behalf of the County, and any argument in favor of the ballot proposed by an eligible voter of the County, the designated argument against the ballot proposition prepared by an eligible voter of the County, and any rebuttals thereto submitted by the respective drafters of the arguments in favor of and against the ballot proposition shall be submitted in accordance with the following schedule:

(i) the argument in favor of the ballot proposition prepared by the Mayor or the Mayor's designee shall be submitted to the County Clerk no later than 5:00 p.m. MDT on September 4, 2026;

(ii) eligible voters wishing to submit an argument in favor of or an argument against the ballot proposition shall file a request with the County Clerk no later than 5:00 p.m. MDT on August 31, 2026; if two or more eligible voters wish to submit an argument in favor of or against the ballot proposition the County Clerk will designate one of the eligible voters to submit the argument by 5:00 MDT on September 1, 2026; any argument designated to be prepared by an eligible voter may be revised and resubmitted to the County Clerk no later than 5:00 p.m. MDT on September 4, 2026; and

(iii) any rebuttal arguments shall be submitted to the County Clerk no later than 5:00 p.m. MDT on September 21, 2026.

All arguments and rebuttal arguments shall comply with the provisions of Section 59-1-1604 of the Utah Code.

(c) In accordance with the requirements of Section 59-1-1605 of the Utah Code, a public meeting shall be held by the County Council at or after 6:00 p.m., during the County Council meeting that begins at 6:00 p.m., on October 6, 2026, at the regular meeting place of the County Council, located in the Governmental Chambers, N1-100, 2001 South State Street, Salt Lake City, Utah, for the presentation of the arguments in favor of and against the ballot proposition.

(d) As provided in Section 59-1-1604 of the Utah Code, the County Clerk, on behalf of the County Council, shall cause the Notice of Public Meeting, including the date, time and place of the public meeting, together with the arguments in favor of and against the ballot proposition and the rebuttals thereto, to be posted for 30 consecutive days before the Election Day on (i) the Statewide Electronic Voter Information Website (as of the date of this Resolution, vote.utah.gov) and (ii) a prominent place on the County's website (as of the date of this Resolution, saltlakecounty.gov).

Section 9. The officers and employees of the County are authorized to take such action as they may deem necessary in order to assure that the Local Special Bond Election does not violate any applicable state or federal law, including laws regarding the use of the electronic voting devices.

Section 10. All acts and resolutions in conflict with this Resolution or any part thereof are hereby repealed.

Section 11. It is hereby declared that all parts of this Resolution are severable, and if any section, clause or provision of this Resolution shall, for any reason, be held to be invalid and unenforceable, the invalidity or unenforceability of any such section, clause or provision shall not affect the remaining sections, clauses or provisions of this Resolution.

Section 12. Immediately after its adoption by at least two-thirds majority of the members of the County Council, this Resolution shall be signed by the Chair and the County Clerk, shall be sealed with the seal of the County and shall be recorded in a book kept for that purpose and shall take immediate effect.

APPROVED and ADOPTED this day, August 4, 2026, as AMENDED AND APPROVED this day, August 18, 2026.

SALT LAKE COUNTY, UTAH

(SEAL)

ATTEST

By /s/ AIMEE WINDER NEWTON
Chair

By /s/LANNIE CHAPMAN

County Clerk

A motion was made by Council Member Johnson, seconded by Council Member Stringham, that this agenda item be approved. The motion carried by a 7 to 1 vote with Council Member Moreno voting "Nay."

Council Member Stringham reminded the public that this bond option would be on the ballot during the General Election this fall for the voters to decide.

Council Member Moreno stated he voted "Nay" because he had concerns about the process and the existence of the advisory board. The County just raised taxes and this would further increase the County's debt. Many of his constituents were experiencing financial hardships and could not afford this bond.

Council Member Stringham stated the Council passed legislative intent two weeks prior that directed the Mayor's Office and staff to work with West Jordan City and its mayor to look at TRCC funding and other options to help get the recreation center funded and to look at other worthy projects that came up short in the recreation bond process. Additionally, it specifically called out Salt Lake City's requested project for \$3.56 million for an all-abilities playground. This legislative intent was no longer needed.

A motion was made by Council Member Stringham, seconded by Council Member Romero, to rescind the legislative intent passed two weeks prior. The motion carried by a 7 to 1 vote, with Council Member Moreno voting "Nay."

Council Member Winder Newton asked Andrew Roberts, Chief of Staff, Mayor's Office, if two paragraphs in the resolution needed to be switched.

Mr. Andrew Roberts, Chief of Staff, Mayor's Office, stated they could be changed.

A motion was made by Council Member Johnson, seconded by Council Member Harrison, that the section of the OFFICIAL BALLOT FOR THE SALT LAKE COUNTY, UTAH LOCAL SPECIAL BOND ELECTION entitled "Property Tax Cost of Bonds" have the first and second paragraphs switched in order, contingent on the advice of the District Attorney's Office and bond counsel. The motion carried by a

unanimous vote.

8. OTHER ITEMS REQUIRING COUNCIL APPROVAL

9. OTHER BUSINESS

ADJOURN

THERE BEING NO FURTHER BUSINESS to come before the Council at this time, the meeting was adjourned at 3:10 PM until Tuesday, August 25, 2026.

LANNIE CHAPMAN, COUNTY CLERK

By _____
DEPUTY CLERK

By _____
CHAIR, SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL