

SALT LAKE COUNTY

2001 So. State Street
Salt Lake City, UT 84114
(385) 468-7500 TTY 711



Meeting Minutes

Tuesday, June 30, 2026

1:30 PM

Council Chambers, Room N1-110

County Council

1. CALL TO ORDER

Invocation - Reading or Thought - Pledge of Allegiance

Present: Council Member Laurie Stringham
Council Member Suzanne Harrison
Council Member Natalie Pinkney
Council Member Jiro Johnson
Council Member Carlos Moreno
Council Member Ross Romero
Council Member Sheldon Stewart
Council Member Dea Theodore
Council Chair Aimee Winder Newton

1.1 Quorum Call—Roll Call Vote

Roll was called, showing Council Member Stringham was absent. Council Member Stringham arrived later in the meeting.

Mr. Jim Vesock led the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America.

2. PUBLIC COMMENT

Ms. Dena Long distributed a handout to each Council Member of a photograph containing a message that she created on ChatGPT.

Mr. Jim Vesock stated West Valley City came out with a new branding and a new city pin. He distributed a new pin to each Council Member and the Mayor.

Ms. Cindy Thompson stated she did not have anything prepared today, but she would be prepared at the next meeting to talk about Natalie Pinkney going over her personal spending budget.

3. REPORT OF ELECTED OFFICIALS:

3.1 County Council Members

Council Member Moreno stated he was from Venezuela, which recently had a major earthquake causing a lot of devastation. He asked for a minute of silence for the people in Venezuela.

Council Member Theodore expressed appreciation for the firefighters fighting on the front lines to keep areas safe. A lot of work went into putting out these fires, many of which are still burning. Firefighters also put in a lot of effort to try and prevent more fires. Council Member Theodore prayed for those firefighters who had lost their lives fighting fires.

Council Member Romero echoed those sentiments of appreciation for the firefighters.

Council Member Romero stated he attended the Mariachi Festival in downtown Salt Lake City at the Eccles Theater. It was well attended, and nice to see the community gathered. The talent was from both inside and outside of the state, showcasing mariachi as a cultural gift to the community and connecting people through song and dance. Council Member Romero encouraged people to attend in the future.

3.2 County Mayor

Ms. Catherine Kanter, Deputy Mayor of Regional Operations, stated the Mayor's Office also wanted to recognize and honor the three firefighters who died this weekend battling wildfires on the Utah-Colorado border—Emily Barker, age 38, of Clinton Township, Michigan; Nick Hutcherson, age 27, of Glendale, Arizona; and Sydney Watson, age 26, of Warrior, Alabama. These three people left their homes and families to stand on a fireline to protect land and communities in Utah. On behalf of Mayor Jennifer Wilson and the County at large, Ms. Kanter extended condolences to families, crews, and everyone who loved these three firefighters, whose sacrifice would not be forgotten and whose memories would be held with gratitude and grief. She asked for a moment of silence for these firefighters.

Ms. Kanter also stated that Governor Spencer Cox issued a statewide fireworks ban through July 5th. That ban covers all personal fireworks across the entirety of Salt Lake County. There was an option for municipalities to opt out of the ban and set aside a designated area where people could set off fireworks, but no municipality did that. Campfires are also prohibited in unincorporated county areas, state lands, and the town of Brighton. There are no campfire restrictions on federal lands, including canyon areas, but that could change.

These rules are not an overreaction. Sixty-seven percent of Utah fires this year were caused by humans, compared to 20 percent in a normal year. Nearly 300,000 acres burned or are burning in the state. The Cottonwood Fire in Beaver County is the largest wildfire in the country, and it is only four percent contained. The National Weather Service issued five red flag warnings for Salt Lake County this month alone. These fires move fast and there are not enough crew members to hold them. Fire, search and rescue, and emergency management crews are stretched to their limit.

Consequences of non-compliance with the new restrictions are very serious. A first firework violation carries a \$1,000 fine, and those who negligently start a fire can face civil liability for suppression costs and property damages, potentially in the millions. If anyone sees a violation, they are encouraged to report it immediately. The non-emergency phone numbers to call for violations are 801-799-3000 in Sandy, and 801-840-4000 in all other County areas. The phone numbers are on the County's website and the Unified Fire Authority's website.

Council Member Winder Newton stated the County put out a list of all the different places people could see professional firework shows. Firefighters would be nearby at those shows in case anything went wrong.

Council Member Winder Newton also clarified that the fireworks ban was through July 5th, but some people were confused and thought that meant they could light fireworks on the 6th. However, fireworks were already disallowed after July 5th until July 22nd for the Pioneer Day holiday. Whether to continue the fireworks ban through the Pioneer Day holiday will be reconsidered later.

3.3 Other Elected County Officials

Mr. Richard Jaussi, Chief Deputy Auditor, stated the Auditor's Office is getting off the Mainframe and will be using the Tax and Online Revenue Unified System (TORUS) to generate the Notice of Property Valuation. The Board of Equalization decision letters will also be generated through TORUS. The Notice of Property Valuations will be sent in July, starting with the E-Notices. Mr. Jaussi encouraged people to sign up for E-Notice, if they had not already done so.

The Auditor's Office is working with Katy Fleury, Senior Policy Advisor/Special Projects, Mayor's Office, to include an explanation on the County's website that Salt Lake County is not raising taxes now and that the increase is due to the Council's decision in December. The Council Office is also prepared to take calls on this matter.

Sheriff Rosie Rivera made the following announcements:

- The Sheriff's Office will be enforcing firework restrictions in unincorporated County areas. It will respond to any illegal fireworks and take appropriation action, and it will be fully staffed on the 4th of July.
- Sheriff Rivera acknowledged the comments about the firefighters. The situation is critical right now in Utah and across the country. Many sheriffs throughout the state are trying to assist firefighters.

- The earthquake in Venezuela was tragic, and Sheriff Rivera's heart went out to the people there. She had heard stories of heroism and survival and asked that if Council Moreno had a contact there, to provide that to the Sheriff's Office and it would send them a card letting the Venezuelan community know they were in the County's thoughts.
- The Sheriff's Office had received accreditation with the National Commission on Correctional Health Care since 2000, and it just received its most recent Certificate of Accreditation. The process to get reaccreditation every three years is rigorous. It takes about six months to do all the comprehensive policy reviews, documentation, and onsite surveys. The Sheriff's Office is proud that it received this accreditation again this year.
- Last week, the Sheriff's Office held an America250 celebration at the Sheriff's Office building. The Sheriff's Office invited a lot of people, and many volunteers, families, and employees were in attendance. The Sheriff's Office Honor Guard and a mounted posse were also there, as were bagpipers and music performers. It was a nice event. Photos can be viewed online.
- The Sheriff's Search and Rescue team has been busy. Volunteers went out on four search and rescue missions just this weekend. These volunteers spend their own time responding and the search and rescue missions take a toll on them. Many times, people who need to be rescued are not in shape for the hike they take on, or they forget to take proper shoes. The Sheriff's Office will be holding a public service announcement to remind the public to plan in advance.
- The Utah Gang Conference will be held July 7th and 8th. The Sheriff's Office will be bringing in law enforcement and public safety professionals. Typically, a lot of schoolteachers also attend these conferences. The discussions will be on emerging gang trends, with agencies sharing intelligence. The Mayor and the Council are invited.

4. CONSENT ITEMS

A motion was made by Council Member Johnson, seconded by Council Member Stewart, that the Consent Agenda be approved. The motion carried by a unanimous vote. Council Member Stringham was absent for the vote.

4.1 Consideration of Approval of TRCC Contract with Magna City

26-633

Attachments:

1. Magna R2026-34 ILA for TRCC Grant Pickleball Neighborhood
Park06102026140739

2. 2026 TRCC County Council Resolution - Magna - Neighborhood Park Pickleball - Full Signed

RESOLUTION NO. 6398

A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF SALT LAKE COUNTY
APPROVING AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF AN INTERLOCAL
COOPERATION AGREEMENT BETWEEN SALT LAKE COUNTY AND MAGNA
FOR A CONTRIBUTION OF TRCC FUNDS TO HELP FUND THE
CONSTRUCTION AND INSTALLATION OF NEW PICKLEBALL COURTS AT
MAGNA NEIGHBORHOOD PARK.

RECITALS

A. Salt Lake County (the “County”) and Magna (the “City”) are “public agencies” as defined by the Utah Interlocal Cooperation Act, Utah Code Ann. §§ 11-13-101 et seq. (the “Act”), and, as such, are authorized by the Act to enter into this Agreement to act jointly and cooperatively on the basis of mutual advantage in order to provide facilities in a manner that will accord best with geographic, economic, population and other factors influencing the needs and development of local communities.

B. The County receives funds (“TRCC Funds”) pursuant to the Tourism, Recreation, Cultural, Convention, and Airport Facilities Tax Act, Utah Code Ann. §§ 59-12-602 et seq. (the “TRCC Act”). The TRCC Act provides that TRCC Funds may be used, among other things, for the development, operation, and maintenance of publicly owned or operated recreation, cultural, or convention facilities.

C. City requested TRCC Funds from the County to help it fund the project described in its TRCC Application. More specifically, the City requested TRCC Funds to help fund the installation of new pickleball courts at Magna Neighborhood Park (the “Project”). The County Council appropriated TRCC Funds for this purpose.

D. City and County now desire to enter into the Interlocal Cooperation Agreement attached hereto as ATTACHMENT A (the “Interlocal Agreement”) wherein the County agrees to grant TRCC Funds to the City to help fund the Project and wherein the City agrees to abide by the terms and conditions outlined in the Interlocal Agreement.

E. The County Council believes that its contribution and assistance under the Agreement will contribute to the prosperity, moral well-being, peace, and comfort of Salt Lake County residents.

RESOLUTION

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED, by the County Council of Salt Lake County:

1. That the Interlocal Agreement between Salt Lake County and Magna is approved, in substantially the form attached hereto as ATTACHMENT A, and that the Salt Lake County Mayor is authorized to execute the same.
2. That the Interlocal Agreement will become effective as stated in the Interlocal Agreement.

APPROVED and ADOPTED this 30th day of June, 2026.

SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL

ATTEST

By /s/ AIMEE WINDER NEWTON
Chair

By /s/LANNIE CHAPMAN
Salt Lake County Clerk

The vote on this consent item was approved.

4.2 Consideration of LEPC Reappointment: Celeste Jensen 26-637
(District 2), Representative from a Hospital

Attachments:

1. JensenCelestePacket

The vote on this consent item was approved.

4.3 Consideration of LEPC Appointment: Matt Bengtzen, 26-638
Representative from Transportation

Attachments:

1. BengtzenMattPacket

The vote on this consent item was approved.

4.4 Ratification of the Council's Action on Item 8.3 from the 26-650
June 16, 2026, County Council Meeting

Attachments: None

ORDINANCE NO. 1955

CENTRALIZED FACILITIES MANAGEMENT

AN ORDINANCE OF THE LEGISLATIVE BODY OF SALT LAKE COUNTY, UTAH, ENACTING CHAPTER 2.13 OF THE SALT LAKE COUNTY CODE OF ORDINANCES, 2001, ENTITLED “FACILITIES MANAGEMENT,” ESTABLISHING CENTRALIZED FACILITIES MANAGEMENT FOR SALT LAKE COUNTY GOVERNMENT BY DESIGNATING THE FACILITIES MANAGEMENT DIVISION AS THE PRIMARY AUTHORITY FOR PLANNING, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, REPAIR, CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT, AND CAPITAL DEVELOPMENT OF ALL COUNTY FACILITIES

The County Legislative Body of Salt Lake County ordains as follows:

SECTION I. Chapter 2.13 of the Salt Lake County Code of Ordinances, 2001, is hereby enacted to read as follows:

2.13.010 – Title.

This chapter shall be known and may be cited as the “Salt Lake County Facilities Management.”

2.13.020 – Purpose.

The purpose of this chapter is to establish the Facilities Management Division as the centralized authority responsible for the planning, operations and maintenance, repair, capital improvement, and capital development of all County Facilities, and to provide a framework for the orderly transition of facilities-related functions from individual departments, divisions, and elected offices to the Facilities Management Division.

2.13.030 – Definitions.

As used in this chapter:

“Capital Improvement” means cyclic repair and replacement, or energy efficiency projects, that extend the life and retain usable condition of an asset and that are not normally contained in the annual operation and maintenance budget.

“Capital Development” means construction or significant remodeling of County-Owned Buildings or other assets, including programmatic improvements or alterations,

utility projects, facility replacement, and land or water acquisition for facilities construction.

“County Facility” means any structure owned by Salt Lake County where any portion of the building or structure is climate controlled and space leased by Salt Lake County where the County has a contractual right or obligation to perform maintenance or other betterments to the property. “County Facility” also includes the parking structures, parking lots, and other essential improvements and infrastructure appurtenant to any County Facility that the County is responsible to maintain.

“Facilities Management Division” means the division within the Department of Administrative Services, or its successor, that is responsible for the maintenance, operations, and repair of County Facilities, as established by Section 2.06B.010 and further provided in this chapter.

“Facilities Services” means any service related to the maintenance, repair, custodial care, groundskeeping, capital improvement, capital development, mechanical and electrical systems management, building automation, life safety systems, and operation planning, management, and maintenance of County Facilities. “Facilities Services” does not include programmatic functions such as information technology infrastructure, specialized equipment, or other technical systems that are integral or unique to an Organization’s programmatic mission.

“Organization” means any department, division, office, agency, or organizational unit of Salt Lake County government, including the offices of elected officials, that occupies, operates, or has programmatic responsibility for one or more County Facilities.

“Organization” includes the Salt Lake County Library System to the extent provided in Section 2.13.070.

2.13.040 – Centralization of Facilities Services.

A. The Facilities Management Division shall serve as the primary authority responsible for the planning, coordination, delivery, and oversight of all Facilities Services for County Facilities throughout Salt Lake County government.

B. All Organizations shall coordinate Facilities Services through the Facilities Management Division defined in Section 2.13.030. Except as provided in Section 2.13.060, no Organization shall independently plan, contract for, or perform Facilities Services for any County Facility.

2.13.050 – Duties and Responsibilities of the Facilities Management Division.

In addition to those duties assigned by the Mayor and by applicable countywide policy, the Facilities Management Division shall, no later than July 1, 2027, and continuing thereafter:

1. develop and maintain a comprehensive inventory of all County Facilities, including current condition assessments;
2. develop and implement maintenance schedules and capital improvement plans for all County Facilities, prioritized in accordance with processes and criteria established in Section 2.90.030 and applicable countywide policy;
3. coordinate and prioritize all Facilities Services requests and capital improvement proposals from Organizations;
4. develop and propose to the Mayor, for review and approval by the Council, a cost-allocation methodology and service-delivery framework for Facilities Services, including any internal service charges, interdepartmental invoicing, or other mechanisms for assigning costs to Organizations;
5. establish and maintain service-level standards for Facilities Services;
6. prepare and submit to the Council as part of the annual county budget process a report on the condition of County Facilities, Facilities Services expenditures, capital improvement needs and progress, and any recommended amendments to this chapter or related countywide policies; and
7. recommend updates to applicable countywide policies as necessary to implement this chapter.

2.13.060 – Exceptions.

A. Application for exception. An Organization may apply to the Council for authorization to retain or independently perform specified Facilities Services. An application for exception shall be submitted in writing and shall demonstrate that the requested exception is necessary due to one or more of the following:

1. specialized security requirements that cannot reasonably be met through the centralized model;
2. regulatory or statutory mandates specific to the Organization's operations that require dedicated facilities personnel or independent facilities management;

3. operational requirements unique to the Organization's mission that are incompatible with centralized scheduling or service delivery; or

4. other circumstances demonstrating that centralized delivery of the specified Facilities Services would be impracticable or would materially impair the Organization's ability to fulfill its statutory or programmatic obligations.

B. Council action. The Council may approve, approve with conditions, or deny an application for exception. The Council may impose conditions on any approved exception, including but not limited to requirements for coordination with the Facilities Management Division on capital planning, adherence to countywide maintenance standards, and periodic reporting.

C. Capital planning coordination. Regardless of whether an exception is granted, all Organizations shall coordinate capital improvement planning for County Facilities with the Facilities Management Division. No exception granted under this section shall relieve an Organization of its obligation to participate in the countywide capital improvement prioritization process established by applicable countywide policy.

D. Annual review. Each approved exception shall be subject to annual review by the Council, coinciding with the budget process. The Council may modify, impose additional conditions on, or revoke any previously approved exception upon a finding that the circumstances justifying the exception have changed or that the exception is no longer consistent with the purposes of this chapter.

2.13.070 – Salt Lake County Library System.

A. Applicability. The Salt Lake County Library System is subject to this chapter with respect to the delivery of Facilities Services for library facilities that are County Facilities as defined in Section 2.13.030.

B. Funding source preservation. Nothing in this chapter shall alter or diminish the independent taxing authority or dedicated funding sources of the Salt Lake County Library System. Any cost-allocation methodology developed pursuant to this Chapter shall account for the Library System's distinct funding structure and shall provide for transparent invoicing or interdepartmental charges that respect the legal limitations on the use of library tax revenues.

2.13.080 – Relationship to Existing Law and Policy.

A. Conflicts. To the extent that any provision of the Salt Lake County Code of Ordinances or any countywide policy conflicts with this chapter, this chapter shall control.

B. Capital budgeting authority. Nothing in this chapter is intended to alter the Council's authority over capital budgeting under applicable provisions of the Salt Lake County Ordinance or the Utah Code. This chapter is intended to complement and strengthen the capital budgeting framework by ensuring that facilities-related expenditures are coordinated, prioritized, and administered through a centralized process.

C. Countywide policy. The council may provide by countywide policy or procedure for additional requirements necessary to implement the purposes of this chapter. Applicable countywide policy governing capital project planning, approval, and administration shall remain in effect and shall be amended as necessary to conform to this chapter.

D. Interlocal agreements. Nothing in this chapter shall be construed to modify or supersede any existing interlocal cooperation agreement to which Salt Lake County is a party, except as may be provided by mutual agreement of the parties.

SECTION II. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective fifteen (15) days after its passage and upon at least one publication in a newspaper published and having general circulation in Salt Lake County.

APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 30th day of June, 2026.

SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL

ATTEST

By /s/AIMEE WINDER NEWTON
Chair

By /s/LANNIE CHAPMAN
Salt Lake County Clerk

The vote on this consent item was approved.

**4.5 Consideration of Approval of a Fee Waiver for Utah
Museum Association's Western Museums Alliance
Conference at Clark Planetarium**

26-651

Attachments:

1. Business Brief_Supporting UMA Conference
2. UMA Contribution_20request_20form SIGNED w tax exempt

The vote on this consent item was approved.

4.6 Consideration of Approval of Asset Transfer from Salt Lake County Parks and Recreation to Centro de la Familia de Utah 26-652

Attachments:

1. Centro de la familia, Property Transfer Agreement RATFL
2. Centro de la Familia Property transfer, 2026 Resolution RATFL

RESOLUTION NO. 6399

RESOLUTION OF THE SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL AUTHORIZING THE CONTRIBUTION OF SALT LAKE COUNTY SURPLUS PERSONAL PROPERTY TO CENTRO DE LA FAMILIA DE UTAH.

A. Centro de la Familia de Utah (“Centro”) is a non-profit organization that promotes educational success for children and families and fostering healthy and engaged communities in Salt Lake County (“Mission”).

B. County provided childcare services programs at various County operated recreation centers throughout Salt Lake County.

C. To operate the programs, County acquired personal property necessary to operate the programs, as listed in Exhibit A. County also acquired various crafts, toys, books, games, cots, and other childcare related classroom items. All of the personal property is herein referred to as the “Property”.

D. Effective May 31, 2026, County no longer operates childcare services programs.

E. Centro operates childcare services programs for underserved populations in Salt Lake County.

F. County wishes to transfer the Property to Centro.

G. County Ordinance 3.27.020 and 3.37.030(B) allows the County to transfer surplus personal property to non-profit entities who will use the materials for the public good.

H. The Salt Lake County Council has determined that transferring the Property to Centro, at no cost to Centro, for use by Centro to fulfill its Mission contributes to the health, prosperity, and moral well-being of County inhabitants.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED, by the Salt Lake County Council that:

1. The Salt Lake County Mayor is authorized to execute an agreement with Centro to transfer the Property at no cost to Centro.
2. In the judgment of the Salt Lake County Council, the contribution of Property to Centro contributes to the safety, health, prosperity, moral well-being, peace, order, comfort, and convenience of Salt Lake County residents.

APPROVED and ADOPTED this 30th day of June, 2026.

ATTEST

SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL

By /s/ AIMEE WINDER NEWTON
Chair

By /s/LANNIE CHAPMAN
Salt Lake County Clerk

The vote on this consent item was approved.

4.7 Consideration of Approval of Transfer of Assets from Salt Lake County Parks and Recreation to Salt Lake Community Action Program 26-653

Attachments:

1. Community Action Program property transfer, 2026 Resolution RATFL
2. Utah Community Action Program Second Transfer RATFL

RESOLUTION NO. 6400

RESOLUTION OF THE SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL AUTHORIZING THE CONTRIBUTION OF SALT LAKE COUNTY SURPLUS PERSONAL PROPERTY TO SALT LAKE COMMUNITY ACTION PROGRAM.

A. SLCAP is a non-profit organization that provides services to children and families in Salt Lake County (“Mission”).

B. County provided childcare services programs at various County operated recreation centers throughout Salt Lake County.

C. To operate the programs, County acquired personal property necessary to operate the programs, as listed in Exhibit A. County also acquired various crafts, toys, books, games, cots, and other childcare related classroom items. All of the personal property is herein referred to as the “Property”.

D. Effective May 31, 2026, County no longer operates childcare services programs.

E. SLCAP operates childcare services programs for underserved populations in Salt Lake County.

F. County wishes to transfer the Property to SLCAP.

G. County Ordinance 3.27.020 and 3.37.030(B) allows the County to transfer surplus personal property to non-profit entities who will use the materials for the public good.

H. The Salt Lake County Council has determined that transferring the Property to SLCAP, at no cost to SLCAP, for use by SLCAP to fulfill its Mission contributes to the health, prosperity, and moral well-being of County inhabitants.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED, by the Salt Lake County Council that:

1. The Salt Lake County Mayor is authorized to execute an agreement with SLCAP to transfer the Property to SLCAP, at no cost to SLCAP, for use by SLCAP.
2. In the judgment of the Salt Lake County Council, the contribution of Property to SLCAP contributes to the safety, health, prosperity, moral well-being, peace, order, comfort, and convenience of Salt Lake County residents.

APPROVED and ADOPTED this 30th day of June, 2026.

ATTEST

SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL

By /s/ AIMEE WINDER NEWTON
Chair

By /s/LANNIE CHAPMAN
Salt Lake County Clerk

The vote on this consent item was approved.

4.8 Consideration of Approval of Amendment to TRCC Agreement with Copperton for Park Improvements

26-672

Attachments:

1. Copperton Park Upgrade TRCC Amendment
2. 2026TRCC County Council Resolution - Copperton Park6.9.26

RESOLUTION NO. 6401

A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF SALT LAKE COUNTY APPROVING AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF AMENDMENT 2 TO THE INTERLOCAL COOPERATION AGREEMENT BETWEEN SALT LAKE COUNTY AND COPPERTON FOR A CONTRIBUTION OF TRCC FUNDS TO HELP FUND CONTRUCTION OF THE COPPERTON PARK UPGRADE

RECITALS

A. Salt Lake County (the “County”) and Copperton (the “City”) are “public agencies” as defined by the Utah Interlocal Cooperation Act, Utah Code Ann. §§ 11-13-101 et seq. (the “Act”), and, as such, are authorized by the Act to enter into this Agreement to act jointly and cooperatively on the basis of mutual advantage in order to provide facilities in a manner that will accord best with geographic, economic, population and other factors influencing the needs and development of local communities.

B. The County receives funds (“TRCC Funds”) pursuant to the Tourism, Recreation, Cultural, Convention, and Airport Facilities Tax Act, Utah Code Ann. §§ 59-12-601 et seq. (the “TRCC Act”). The TRCC Act provides that TRCC Funds may be used, among other things, for the development, operation, and maintenance of publicly owned or operated recreation, cultural, or convention facilities.

C. City requested TRCC Funds from the County to help fund the project described in its TRCC Application. More specifically, the City requested TRCC Funds to help fund the Copperton Park Upgrade (the “Project”). The County Council appropriated TRCC Funds for this purpose.

D. On or about June 19, 2024, the Parties entered into Salt Lake County Contract # 0000004045 (the “Agreement”)

E. On or about March 25, 2025, the Parties entered into Amendment 1 to the Agreement to extend the expenditure deadline and reporting deadlines.

F. City amended is Scope of Work and requested an additional extension of the expenditure deadline and reporting deadlines, which was approved by the TRCC board. The total TRCC funds requested and awarded has not changed.

G. The Parties now wish to amend the Agreement to amend the scope of work and extend the expenditure deadline and reporting deadlines.

H. The County Council believes that its contribution and assistance under the Agreement, as amended, will contribute to the prosperity, moral well-being, peace, and comfort of Salt Lake County residents.

RESOLUTION

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED, by the County Council of Salt Lake County:

1. Amendment 2 to the Agreement is approved, in substantially the form attached hereto as ATTACHMENT A, and that the Salt Lake County Mayor is authorized to execute the same.
2. Amendment 2 will become effective upon execution of the Parties.

APPROVED and ADOPTED this 30th day of June, 2026.

SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL

ATTEST

By /s/ AIMEE WINDER NEWTON
Chair

By /s/LANNIE CHAPMAN
Salt Lake County Clerk

The vote on this consent item was approved.

4.9 Consideration of TRCC Advisory Board Appointment: Shannon Smith (District 5)

26-675

Attachments:

1. Shannon Smith Resume (TRCC Appointment)_Redacted

The vote on this consent item was approved.

5. APPROVAL OF TAX LETTERS

A motion was made by Council Member Johnson, seconded by Council Member Stewart, that the Tax Letters be approved. The motion carried by a unanimous vote. Council Member Stringham was absent for the vote.

5.1 DMV Refunds for Disabled Veteran Exemption 26-649

Attachments:

1. 26-649 MV0015 DMV Vet Refund \$690.00ryh

The vote on this tax letter was approved.

5.2 Tax Administration's Tax Relief Letters 26-656

Attachments:

1. Tax Relief Letter and Spreadsheet 6.18.26 PTC

The vote on this tax letter was approved.

5.3 Tax Administration's Charitable, Religious, and Educational Exemption Letters 26-658

Attachments:

1. 3.1 The Mission Church_Redacted

The vote on this tax letter was approved.

5.4 Tax Administration's Waivers of Penalty and Interest Letters 26-659

Attachments:

1. 5.0 Waiver and Refund Requests Letter

The vote on this tax letter was approved.

5.5 Refund 2026 Personal Property Tax due to SLCO BOE Stipulation 26-664

Attachments:

1. 26-9014 26-Refund personal property due to Salt Lake County BOE stipulation with Interstate Brick Company

The vote on this tax letter was approved.

6. ACCEPTANCE OF ETHICS DISCLOSURES

A motion was made by Council Member Johnson, seconded by Council Member Stewart, that the Ethics Disclosures be received and filed. The motion carried by a unanimous vote. Council Member Stringham was absent for the vote.

6.1 Acceptance of Ethics Disclosures: Recreation Bond Advisory Board 26-635

Attachments:

1. Recreation Bond Advisory Board - Redacted

The vote on the ethics disclosures was received and filed.

7. APPROVAL OF COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES

7.1 Approval of June 9, 2026, County Council Minutes 26-663

Attachments:

1. 060926 Council Minutes
2. 06-09-2026 Council Minutes w/Amendments

Council Member Stewart asked for an amendment to the minutes, under the Sheriff's Office's request to fund drug enforcement administration agents in the mid-year budget workshop, to add that he wanted to revisit the request in the 2027 budget workshops.

A motion was made by Council Member Stewart, seconded by Council Member Johnson, that the minutes be approved with that amendment. The motion carried by a unanimous vote. Council Member Stringham was absent for the vote.

7.2 Approval of June 16, 2026, County Council Minutes 26-685

Attachments:

1. 06-16-2026 Council 130 Minutes

A motion was made by Council Member Johnson, seconded by Council Member Stewart, that this agenda item be approved. The motion carried by a unanimous vote. Council Member Stringham was absent for the vote.

7.3 Approval of June 16, 2026, County Council Budget Minutes 26-686

Attachments:

1. 061626 Council 600 Budget Minutes

A motion was made by Council Member Johnson, seconded by Council Member Stewart, that this agenda item be approved. The motion carried by a unanimous vote. Council Member Stringham was absent for the vote.

8. WORK SESSION

8.1 Informational Update on the Proposed Hire Report / Incentive Plans - \$3000 and Under / Weekly Reclassification Report 26-678

Presenter: Hoa Nguyen, Council Budget and Policy Analyst
(Less than 5 minutes)

Informational

Attachments:

1. Proposed Hire Report - 6-24-2026
2. Incentive Plans - \$3,000 and Under 6-24-2026
3. Weekly Reclassification Report 6-24-2026

Ms. Hoa Nguyen, Budget & Policy Analyst, Council Office, reviewed the new hires and reclassifications. There were no incentive plans this week.

8.2 TIME CERTAIN 2:00 PM 26-639 **Consideration of Mountainous Planning District Planning Commission Appointment: McKay Edwards (District 4), Unincorporated or Incorporated Alternate**

Presenter: Heather Montgomery, Operations Manager, Mayor's Office
(5 minutes)

Discussion - Vote Needed

Attachments:

1. McKayEdwardsPacket

Ms. Ryan Anderson, Municipal Services and Program Manager, Office of Regional Development, reviewed what the Mountainous Planning District does and the appointment of McKay Edwards to be an alternate on the Mountainous Planning Commission. Ms. Anderson further reviewed Mr. Edwards' experience and qualifications for the appointment.

A motion was made by Council Member Harrison, seconded by Council Member Johnson, that this agenda item be approved. The motion carried by a unanimous vote. Council Member Stringham was absent for the vote.

Ms. Catherine Kanter, Deputy Mayor of Regional Operations, welcomed Mr. Edwards on behalf of the Mayor's Office, and stated she had served as a commissioner for the Mountainous Planning Commission in its initial year. The Mountainous Planning Commission does important work.

8.3 TIME CERTAIN 2:05 PM

26-620

Informational Updates on the Implementation of Salt Lake County and the Leifman Group's Behavioral Health and Justice Recommendations

Presenter: Jenny Wilson, Salt Lake County Mayor; Erin Litvack, Associate Deputy Mayor of County Services; Kelly Colopy, Human Services Director; Anndrea Wild, Office of Homelessness and Criminal Justice Reform Director; Jiro Johnson, Salt Lake County Council Member; Sim Gill, Salt Lake County District Attorney; Matt Dumont, Corrections Bureau Chief Deputy; Kele Griffone, Salt Lake County Criminal Justice Services Division Director; Rich Mauro, Executive Director of the Salt Lake County Legal Defender Association
(60 minutes)

Informational

Attachments:

1. SLCo Leifman Council Update 6.30.26

Ms. Erin Litvack, Deputy Mayor of County Services, delivered a PowerPoint presentation entitled Behavioral Health and Justice Recommendations – A Salt Lake County + the Leifman Group Action Plan. She reviewed the agenda, key themes, and key milestones.

Ms. Anndrea Wild, Director, Office of Homelessness and Criminal Justice Reform, continued the presentation, reviewing the key progress in advancing recommendation #2 - the Criminal Justice Advisory Council's (CJAC) crisis response workgroup's effort to adjust the 911 call script to better capture those experiencing a mental health episode; and recommendation #4 – CJAC's crisis response workgroup's effort to tackle barriers around transportation for those experiencing behavioral health crisis, especially when the Mobile Crisis Outreach Team was involved.

Ms. Kelly Colopy, Director, Human Services Department, reviewed the key progress in advancing recommendation #11 – Criminal Justice Services design for its new reentry program with case managers and peers to ensure people leaving the jail had a plan and connection to community-based supports; recommendation #15 – developing two new Assertive Community Treatment (ACT) teams, bringing multidisciplinary case

management and treatment to 200 more people with severe mental illness; and recommendation #21 – bringing three new group/boardings online this year, with 90 units of supported housing for individuals experiencing serious mental illness, totaling 226 new units. Ms. Colopy also reviewed the next steps.

Ms. Wild continued the presentation, reviewing recommendation #16 – expanding the County’s post-arrest diversion system, which CJAC’s legal structures workgroup was implementing.

Mr. Sim Gill, District Attorney, continued the presentation, reviewing important diversion terms; and an update on post-arrest diversion, whereby prosecution and defense would be working in the jail booking area to craft and authorize intervention plans for individuals with limited functional capacities, and Criminal Justice Services would be working with case managers and community-based housing and treatment providers to track outcomes and re-engage prosecutors where enhanced accountability is needed.

Chief Matt Dumont stated often when people arrive at the jail after their arrest, they are intoxicated and unable to make decisions, but a few hours later, they can make sound decisions. Space in the jail has been identified to house the prosecutors and legal defenders who would be crafting the intervention plans for these individuals, and to expand criminal justice services to include conducting a trauma assessment.

Ms. Kele Griffone, Director, Criminal Justice Services Division, stated Criminal Justice Services would be gathering information from its case management system, the courts, and its partners while the individual was in a state of detox. Then, once that individual was able to talk, Criminal Justice Services would do that universal screening, and it would provide the information to both the defense and prosecution to make sure they were able to get to the root cause of why that person was incarcerated. That information would go into Criminal Justice Service’s case management system, which could then be tracked to determine if what was being done was successful or if interventions were needed.

Mr. Richard Mauro, Executive Director, Salt Lake Legal Defender Association, continued the presentation, reviewing the problem that everyone was trying to solve for the subset of individuals cycling through the criminal justice system who had limited functional capacity due to behavioral health needs. These individuals’ behavior does not change from the incentive structure of the criminal justice system, and when they continue to cycle in and out of jail, their behavior worsens over time. This population needs significant intervention, including community support and wrap-around services, so as not to re-engage with the criminal justice system.

Mr. Gill continued the presentation, reviewing the current system diversion option that entailed three silos: Silo 1 – legally competent and functionally capable, Silo 2 – legally competent, but with limited functional capacity, and Silo 3 – not legally competent or functionally capable.

Council Member Stewart stated some offenders who were not competent were also physically violent. He asked what was being done for victims' rights. Any program needed to address the mental health of the victim and the problems the offender created for the victim, but this program does not do that.

Mr. Gill stated a person who was violent or charged with a felony would not fit into this model. However, the District Attorney's Office's Survivor and Victim Support Services does provide services for victims.

Council Member Johnson stated this model would prevent low level offenders from getting deeper into the system, at which time it would become too late to help them. The current system fails those individuals.

Mr. Mauro continued the presentation, reviewing the sequential intercept model, which the County uses to match individuals to the right level of accountability and services.

Mr. Gill continued the presentation, reviewing the Leifman Group action plan alignment, with recommendations to expand the County's post-arrest diversion system.

Council Member Romero asked if a date to have these tasks completed had been identified. The County needed dates to be able to measure whether it fulfilled the tasks. Open-ended extensions of what the County would like to do did not provide enough accountability.

Ms. Litvack stated this is a ten-year plan. Once working groups were up and running and prioritized the recommendations, a time-line would be created over that ten-year period.

Mr. Mauro continued the presentation, reviewing the program goals and a step-by-step process of how the program worked.

Council Member Moreno asked how much this was going to cost. He saw other problems in the community that were funded by private initiatives.

Mr. Gill stated the cost would depend on what the County was building and the stage it was at. The County's current, failed system cost more than it would to invest in another system.

Council Member Theodore stated it would be helpful to get a timeline so the Council could know if in ten years the County would hit those target points, and it would be helpful to get a tentative budget for a timeframe.

Mr. Gill finalized the presentation, reviewing the eligibility criteria for who would qualify for the program, agency roles, and program resources.

8.4 Consideration of Approval of the Discovery Gateway Children's Museum Annual Plan and Budget

26-670

Presenter: Kathleen Bodenlos, CEO of Discovery Gateway Children's Museum
(20 minutes)

Discussion - Vote Needed

Attachments:

1. 26-27 DGCM Maintenance_and_Capital_Improvement_Report
2. 26-27 DGCM Management and Operations Plan
3. 2026 DGCM County Presentation
4. DGCM Financial Reports as of 2026-04
5. FY 27 Comparative Annual Budget
6. FY27 Annual Plan_DGCM

Ms. Robin Chalhoub, Director, Community Services Department, stated Discovery Gateway Children's Museum has a long-term contract with the County to occupy a County building at the Gateway. As part of the contract, the executive director is required to come and present the annual budget to the Council and get its approval.

Ms. Kathleene Bodenlos, Executive Director, Discovery Gateway Children's Museum, delivered a PowerPoint presentation on the Discovery Gateway. She reviewed an agenda; highlights from 2026; a new Utah Transit Authority (UTA) exhibit, which opened April 2026; the renovation of Discovery Gateway, which will be complete by the end of 2026, four years ahead of plan; highlights of public relations for the museum for 2025; an overview of attendance; FY 2026 financials (through April 30, 2026); expansion, increased attendance and membership, the third floor innovation for kids of all ages, and a brand review to reflect the newly renovated museum; the FY26 Annual Plan; and budgeted financials for FY27.

Council Member Moreno asked why the revenues did not match expenses in the FY 2026 financials.

Ms. Bodenlos stated Discovery Gateway did keep its expense down this year, but some things were not a perfect match. For example, the Museum Inclusion Fund's revenue did not match its expenses, but Discovery Gateway did not want to cut that program in the

middle of the year. It will reduce the program this year or be more strategic in how it utilizes the program. Discovery Gateway benchmarked itself against other museums and found it was being generous in that program.

Council Member Winder Newton asked that Discovery Gateway send the Council the final numbers when they came in around the middle of August.

A motion was made by Council Member Johnson, seconded by Council Member Romero, that this agenda item be approved. The motion carried 7 to 1, with Council Member Moreno voting “Nay.” Council Member Stringham was absent for the vote.

8.5 Informational Presentation of Amendments to Three Interlocal Cooperation Agreements:

26-654

- **Agreement between Salt Lake County and the Community Development and Renewal Agency of Herriman for the Herriman Innovation District Community Reinvestment Project Area**
- **Agreement between Salt Lake County and the Redevelopment Agency of Midvale City for the Midvale Main Street Community Development Project Area**
- **Agreement between Salt Lake County and the Millcreek Community Reinvestment Agency for the Woodland Avenue Community Reinvestment Project Area**

Presenter: Kersten Swinyard, Economic Development Director
(10 minutes)

Informational

Attachments:

1. Amendment 1 - Herriman Innovation District CRA_RATF
2. Amendment 1 - Millcreek Woodland Avenue CRA_RATF
3. Amendment 2 - Midvale Main Street CDA_RATF

Ms. Kersten Swinyard, Director, Economic Development Division, reviewed three amendments to interlocal agreements with the Community Development and Renewal Agency of Herriman for the Herriman Innovation District Community Reinvestment Project Area, the Redevelopment Agency of Midvale for the Midvale Main Street Community Development Project Area, and the Millcreek Community Reinvestment Agency for the Woodland Avenue Community Reinvestment Project Area.

Over the last 18 months, the Utah State Tax Commission changed the way it treated library property tax as it related to the parent organization of the library. The State determined that an entity that had both a general fund and a library fund needed to separate the two funds for things like the Notice of Valuation and in the Auditor's documents. As a result of this change, the Auditor's Office did a review of the interlocal cooperation agreements that governed the County's project areas and determined these three project areas were not explicitly excluding County library increment in the language of the interlocal agreement, although the project documents, budget, and project area plans all demonstrated a desire to omit the library increment. These three amendments correct that in the interlocal agreements and specify the return of any property tax increment that inadvertently slipped through this year.

9. PUBLIC HEARINGS AND ISSUANCE OF PUBLIC NOTICES

9.1 Set a Public Hearing for July 14, 2026, to be Held at the 1:30 PM County Council Meeting to Receive Comments on the Proposed Amendments to Three Interlocal Agreements:

26-660

- **Agreement between Salt Lake County and the
Community Development and Renewal Agency of
Herriman for the Herriman Innovation District
Community Reinvestment Project Area**
- **Agreement between Salt Lake County and the
Redevelopment Agency of Midvale City for the
Midvale Main Street Community Development
Project Area**
- **Agreement between Salt Lake County and the
Millcreek Community Reinvestment Agency for the
Woodland Avenue Community Reinvestment
Project Area**

Attachments:

1. Amendment 1 - Herriman Innovation District CRA_RATF
2. Amendment 2 - Midvale Main Street CDA_RATF
3. Amendment 1 - Millcreek Woodland Avenue CRA_RATF
4. Draft Notice of Public Hearing_Herriman Innovation District CRA
5. Draft Notice of Public Hearing_Midvale Main Street CDA
6. Draft Notice of Public Hearing_Woodland Avenue CRA

A motion was made by Council Member Stewart, seconded by Council Member Harrison, that this agenda item be approved. The motion carried by a unanimous vote. Council Member Romero was absent for the vote.

10. PENDING LEGISLATIVE BUSINESS

10. Consideration of a Resolution of the Salt Lake County 26-677

1 Council Initiating the Process to Withdraw the Unincorporated Areas of Salt Lake County from the Salt Lake Valley Law Enforcement Service Area Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. § 17B-1-505, as Amended by H.B. 429 (2026 General Session); Expressing a Preference for a Negotiated Withdrawal; Directing Notice to the SLVLESA Board of Trustees; Authorizing Negotiations; and Related Matters

Presenter: Dea Theodore, Salt Lake County Council Member; Jiro Johnson, Salt Lake County Council Member
(10 minutes)

Discussion - Vote Needed

Attachments:

1. SLVLESA Withdrawal Resolution.RAFL

Council Member Theodore stated the purpose of the resolutions for agenda items 10.1 and 10.2 is to make sure services, governance, and taxpayer dollars better match the needs of unincorporated County. If approved, the County would begin separate processes to give the County, the districts, and impacted communities time to plan for next steps.

Council Member Theodore reviewed the history of the creation of the Salt Lake Valley Law Enforcement Agency (SLVLESA) to help fund law enforcement services in unincorporated Salt Lake County, and the separation of the County from the Unified Police Department (UPD), resulting in SLVLESA having two law enforcement providers – Salt Lake County and the UPD member cities. The County cannot control how SLVLESA allocates funding and receives only a small portion of the funding despite unincorporated county generating a large share of SLVLESA property tax revenue. The current structure has created a public safety funding gap for unincorporated residents and is not sustainable. This resolution begins the process to withdraw unincorporated areas from SLVLESA. It does not dissolve SLVLESA. The Council's preference would be to work with SLVLESA on a negotiated and orderly transition.

Council Member Moreno stated he disagreed with the decision to withdraw from SLVLESA and the Greater Salt Lake Municipal Services District (MSD). SLVLESA was formed to protect communities and ensure they had law enforcement services at a time when unincorporated property was being annexed into cities and incorporations were taking place. Special services districts are created to provide basic services no matter what. He represented the cities of Magna and Kearns, and this resolution would harm those communities. Those new cities were not ready to support law enforcement agents.

Council Member Stewart stated the County had a major shortfall in the last budget to fund the unincorporated areas. It is unacceptable for residents of communities to fund services through the General Fund when they do get the services.

Council Member Winder Newton stated if the County left SLVLESA, it would not have to use General Fund dollars to fund things like canyon patrol. It could use the unincorporated dollars, which would save some constituents' taxpayer dollars. This was about fair taxation. Taxpayer dollars should not be used to subsidy some areas while others had to make up the difference.

Council Member Johnson stated residents in his district did not receive much of a benefit from either SLVLESA or the MSD. They were expected to cover the costs of the services they receive on their own. The County has zero control over the budget of SLVLESA, and he was not on board with that arrangement. If SLVLESA was organized in a way that the County had parity with other member entities, he would be fine. Since it is not set up that way, it is not serving the County now.

Council Member Pinkney stated this is about making sure people who are paying into districts are receiving the services. If Council Member Moreno was concerned about public safety in certain areas, that was a different conversation.

RESOLUTION NO. 6402

A RESOLUTION OF THE SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL INITIATING THE PROCESS TO WITHDRAW THE UNINCORPORATED AREAS OF SALT LAKE COUNTY FROM THE SALT LAKE VALLEY LAW ENFORCEMENT SERVICE AREA PURSUANT TO UTAH CODE ANN. § 17B-1-505, AS AMENDED BY H.B.

429 (2026 GENERAL SESSION); EXPRESSING A PREFERENCE FOR A NEGOTIATED WITHDRAWAL; DIRECTING NOTICE TO THE SLVLESA BOARD OF TRUSTEES; AUTHORIZING NEGOTIATIONS; AND RELATED MATTERS

WHEREAS, the Salt Lake County Council (the "Council") is the county legislative body of Salt Lake County, Utah (the "County"), a county of the first class operating under the

executive-council optional form of government pursuant to Utah Code Ann. § 17-52a-203; and

WHEREAS, the elected Salt Lake County Sheriff (the “Sheriff”) is the constitutionally and statutorily designated chief law enforcement officer of the County, charged with providing law enforcement services in the unincorporated areas of Salt Lake County pursuant to Utah Code Title 17, Chapter 72, Part 3 and the Council’s policy and budget directives; and

WHEREAS, on August 18, 2009, the Council adopted Resolution No. 4314, creating the Salt Lake Valley Law Enforcement Service Area (“SLVLESA”) as a local district pursuant to Utah Code Ann. § 17B-1-201, et seq., and § 17B-2a-901, et seq., for the purpose of providing law enforcement services in the unincorporated areas of Salt Lake County, with its boundaries comprising the unincorporated area of the County as described in the plat attached to that resolution; and

WHEREAS, SLVLESA has historically and functionally operated as a law enforcement funding entity, levying statutorily designated property taxes within its jurisdiction and distributing the resulting revenue to support law enforcement operations, rather than as a direct provider of law enforcement services; and

WHEREAS, in connection with the creation of SLVLESA, Salt Lake County participated in the Unified Police Department of Greater Salt Lake (the “UPD”), an interlocal cooperation entity established to provide law enforcement services to its participating members, and funded in part through SLVLESA revenues, with the elected County Sheriff designated to serve as the chief executive officer of the UPD; and

WHEREAS, Section 4 of Resolution No. 4314 declared that “no property which will not benefit from the services to be provided by the Service Area is included within the boundaries thereof,” establishing the foundational principle that SLVLESA revenues generated by properties within its boundaries should support law enforcement services benefiting those properties; and

WHEREAS, over the years following SLVLESA’s creation, Salt Lake County’s unincorporated footprint was drastically reduced as the result of various annexations and incorporations; and

WHEREAS, this process of municipal incorporation included the formation of several new municipalities within Salt Lake County which became members of SLVLESA, with certain of their statutorily designated property tax revenues pooled alongside the County’s unincorporated tax revenues to fund the SLVLESA member share of UPD operations; and

WHEREAS, the governance structure of the UPD became increasingly untenable as member and non-member entities raised concerns regarding the Sheriff's dual role as both an elected county officer and the appointed CEO of the UPD, along with policy disputes over funding allocations, county funding of certain countywide UPD functions, perceived conflicts of interest, and questions of span of control and accountability becoming persistent sources of institutional friction; and

WHEREAS, during the 2023 General Session, the Utah Legislature enacted House Bill 374, "County Sheriff Amendments" (Chief Sponsor: Rep. Jordan D. Teuscher; Senate Sponsor: Sen. Daniel McCay), which established a July 1, 2025, repeal date for the statutory provisions requiring the Sheriff to provide or direct law enforcement services under interlocal agreements involving the County and establishing the Sheriff's role in police interlocal entities and police local districts, effectively requiring the severance of the relationship between Salt Lake County and the UPD; and

WHEREAS, at the request of the UPD Board of Directors, Salt Lake County separated from the UPD effective July 1, 2024, one year earlier than the statutory deadline established by House Bill 374, which separation was followed by a carefully negotiated division of assets, liabilities, personnel, and equipment between the County and the UPD, and agreement concerning the County's provision of certain countywide and enterprise services to the UPD; and

WHEREAS, effective July 1, 2024, the Salt Lake County Council approved the Salt Lake County Sheriff's Office re-establishment of its Law Enforcement Bureau, which commenced providing unincorporated patrol services along with other statutory and general fund eligible countywide services, including canyon patrol, search and rescue, and other essential law enforcement functions in unincorporated Salt Lake County; and

WHEREAS, prior to the County's separation from the UPD, the County extended an invitation to all SLVLESA member entities to join the Sheriff's Law Enforcement Bureau as an alternative to continued participation in the UPD, offering a service model that projected lower total costs than the UPD's proposed budget for the same or comparable service levels; and

WHEREAS, the other municipal members of SLVLESA elected to continue contracting with a reconstituted UPD rather than participating in the Sheriff's Law Enforcement Bureau, a decision that resulted in higher aggregate law enforcement costs within SLVLESA and created a structural funding disparity between the County's unincorporated areas and the other SLVLESA members; and

WHEREAS, property located in unincorporated Salt Lake County, including centrally assessed properties, generates a disproportionately large share of SLVLESA's total property tax revenue, yet the SLVLESA Board of Trustees has consistently allocated to the Sheriff's Law Enforcement Bureau a fraction of the revenue attributable to unincorporated properties, directing the substantial majority of SLVLESA revenues to the UPD for services provided exclusively to other municipal members, including for non-SLVLESA municipal members; and

WHEREAS, the County holds three of the voting seats on the SLVLESA Board of Trustees and does not hold a controlling majority, and has therefore been unable to secure fully adequate funding for law enforcement services in the unincorporated areas as requested by the Sheriff during the SLVLESA budget process despite the County's disproportionate revenue contribution; and

WHEREAS, in the budget cycles following the separation of the County from UPD in 2024, the SLVLESA Board of Trustees has consistently and substantially underfunded requests made by the Sheriff's Law Enforcement Bureau, below such amounts as are necessary to adequately fund patrol services in the unincorporated county, leaving a funding gap for public safety services in the unincorporated county for which the County Council lacks an adequate or lawful alternative source of revenue to draw upon; and

WHEREAS, during the same period, the SLVLESA Board of Trustees has more generously and fully funded requests made by the UPD, creating a gross inequity of revenues and expenditures and allowing unincorporated county revenues to inure to the benefit of UPD's municipal members, including municipal members that are not part of SLVLESA; and

WHEREAS, the County has statutory responsibilities to provide municipal-like services to residents of its unincorporated areas, including law enforcement patrol, and the continued participation in SLVLESA under current conditions undermines the County's ability to fulfill those obligations and to ensure that tax revenues generated within unincorporated areas are directed toward services benefiting those areas; and

WHEREAS, the County's participation in SLVLESA obligates the only lawful source of tax revenue that the County may use to provide patrol services to its residents and taxpayers in the unincorporated County; and

WHEREAS, during the 2026 General Session, the Utah Legislature enacted House Bill 429, "Special Districts Amendments" (Chief Sponsor: Rep. Candice B. Pierucci; Senate Sponsor: Sen. Daniel McCay), effective May 6, 2026, which amended Utah Code Ann. § 17B-1-505 to provide an unambiguous statutory process by which a county of the first

class may initiate withdrawal of all unincorporated land within its boundaries from a first responder district, including a law enforcement service area; and

WHEREAS, as amended by House Bill 429, Utah Code Ann. § 17B-1-505(1)(a) defines “county” as all of the unincorporated land in a county of the first class that is entirely within the boundary of a first responder district, and § 17B-1-505(1)(c) defines “first responder district” to include a special district that provides law enforcement service, both of which definitions apply to Salt Lake County’s unincorporated areas within SLVLESA; and

WHEREAS, Utah Code Ann. § 17B-1-505(3)(a), as amended, provides that the process to withdraw a county from a first responder district may be initiated by a resolution adopted by the county legislative body; and

WHEREAS, Utah Code Ann. § 17B-1-505(5), as amended, provides that withdrawal may be accomplished either through a written agreement between the county legislative body and the first responder district, or, if no agreement is reached, through a feasibility study conducted under § 17B-1-505.5 followed by either a negotiated agreement or an election of voters residing in the eligible area; and

WHEREAS, the Council has determined that initiating the withdrawal process is in the best interests of the residents and taxpayers of unincorporated Salt Lake County, and that the County should pursue withdrawal through negotiation with SLVLESA to the extent possible, while preserving the Council’s full range of options under the statute in the event a negotiated withdrawal cannot be achieved; and

WHEREAS, the process of the County withdrawing its unincorporated areas from SLVLESA should not raise substantial questions related to the allocation of assets, liabilities, obligations, and other related matters, as such matters have already been satisfactorily resolved by the earlier separation of Salt Lake County from the UPD; and

WHEREAS, the division of SLVLESA revenues between two separate law enforcement providers — the UPD and the Sheriff’s Law Enforcement Bureau — serving distinct and non-overlapping areas within the same district is contrary to the purpose of a local district and the structure of Title 17B, eliminates the economies of scale that justify a first responder district’s existence, and renders the current arrangement unsustainable; and

WHEREAS, the Council is not aware of any other local district in the State of Utah that operates under a comparable dual-provider structure; and

WHEREAS, the Council finds that Salt Lake County's proposed withdrawal from SLVLESA would be functionally and financially feasible for both Salt Lake County and SLVLESA, and further finds that Salt Lake County's continued participation in SLVLESA is dysfunctional and misaligned with the original legislative intent establishing SLVLESA; and

WHEREAS, the Salt Lake County Mayor and the Salt Lake County Sheriff have been consulted and are supportive of the County initiating the withdrawal process;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Salt Lake County Council, acting as county legislative body, as follows:

1. Initiation of Withdrawal Process. Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. § 17B-1-505(3)(a), as amended by House Bill 429 (2026 General Session), the Salt Lake County Council hereby initiates the process to withdraw all of the unincorporated land in Salt Lake County that is within the boundaries of the Salt Lake Valley Law Enforcement Service Area from SLVLESA. This resolution constitutes the resolution of the county legislative body required by § 17B-1-505(3)(a) to initiate the withdrawal process.
2. Preference for Negotiated Withdrawal. The Council expresses its preference that the withdrawal be accomplished, to the extent practicable, through a written agreement with SLVLESA pursuant to Utah Code Ann. § 17B-1-505(5)(a)(ii)(A), addressing the fair and equitable allocation of assets, liabilities, obligations, and any other matters necessary to effectuate an orderly transition. Nothing in this resolution shall be construed to limit or waive the Council's authority to pursue any other withdrawal pathway authorized by statute, including a feasibility study under § 17B-1-505.5, a post-feasibility negotiated agreement under § 17B-1-505(5)(b), or an election under § 17B-1-505(5)(a)(ii)(B), should a negotiated withdrawal not be achieved.
3. Notice to SLVLESA Board of Trustees. Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. § 17B-1-505(3)(c), the Salt Lake County Clerk is hereby directed to transmit written notice of the adoption of this resolution, accompanied by a copy of this resolution, to the Board of Trustees of the Salt Lake Valley Law Enforcement Service Area within ten (10) days of the date of adoption.
4. Authorization to Negotiate. The Salt Lake County Mayor and the Salt Lake County Sheriff, in consultation with the Salt Lake County District Attorney and Council legal counsel, are hereby authorized and directed to engage in good-faith negotiations with the SLVLESA Board of Trustees regarding the terms and conditions of withdrawal, including but not limited to the allocation of SLVLESA assets and liabilities, the disposition of real and personal property, the transition of any ongoing contractual obligations, and such other matters as may be necessary to effectuate an orderly

withdrawal. The Mayor and Sheriff shall report to the Council on the progress of negotiations at such intervals as the Council may request.

5. Reservation of Rights and Remedies. The Council expressly reserves all rights, remedies, and options available to it under Utah Code Ann. § 17B-1-505, as amended, and all other applicable law. Nothing in this resolution shall be construed to prejudice the Council's position in any future negotiation, feasibility study, election, or other proceeding related to the withdrawal.

6. Severability. If any section, part, or provision of this resolution is held invalid or unenforceable, such invalidity or unenforceability shall not affect any other section, part, or provision of this resolution, and all sections, parts, and provisions of this resolution shall be severable.

7. Effective Date. This resolution shall take effect upon adoption by the Salt Lake County Council.

ADOPTED AND APPROVED this 30th day of June, 2026.

SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL

ATTEST

By /s/ AIMEE WINDER NEWTON
Chair

By /s/LANNIE CHAPMAN
Salt Lake County Clerk

A motion was made by Council Member Stewart, seconded by Council Member Johnson, that this agenda item be approved. The motion carried 8 to 1 by the following roll call vote:

Aye: Council Member Harrison, Council Member Stringham, Council Member Pinkney, Council Member Johnson, Council Member Romero, Council Member Stewart, Council Member Theodore, Council Member Winder Newton

Nay: Council Member Moreno

Council Member Stringham stated SLVLESA is trying to fund two entities that are in conflict. SLVLESA should protect all its members, and it was not protecting the County, so the County needed to get out. The transition to withdraw from SLVLESA would not be quick; the County would want to make sure this action did not hurt people.

- 10. Consideration of a Resolution of the Salt Lake County Council Concurring with and Supporting the Salt Lake County Mayor's Notice to Terminate the Master Interlocal Agreement for Municipal, Administrative, and Operational Services with the Greater Salt Lake Municipal Services District Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. § 11-13-206(1)(e) and Section 5.2 of the Agreement; Expressing a Preference for an Orderly Wind-Down and Transition; Authorizing Cooperation with the Mayor; and Related Matters** 26-676

Presenter: Dea Theodore, Salt Lake County Council Member; Jiro Johnson, Salt Lake County Council Member
(5 minutes)

Discussion - Vote Needed

Attachments:

1. MSD Agreement Termination Resolution.RAFL

Council Member Theodore reviewed the history of the creation of the Greater Salt Lake Municipal Services District (MSD). In 2018, the County, MSD, and member communities entered into a master interlocal agreement, whereby the County agreed to provide municipal, administrative, and operational services to the MSD. The agreement was designed to last up to 50 years; however, the MSD has taken over more of the responsibilities the County had previously handled, and the County's unincorporated residential area has shrunk. Due to these changes, the County would like to evaluate a more cost-effective way to serve the remaining unincorporated county. This resolution supported the Mayor's desire for a one-year notice to terminate the master interlocal agreement. The resolution did not dissolve the MSD, nor did it withdraw any area. It just ended the County's long term service provider relationship. The one-year notice would give the County, the MSD, and the member communities time to work through an orderly transition.

RESOLUTION NO. 6403

A RESOLUTION OF THE SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL CONCURRING WITH AND SUPPORTING THE SALT LAKE COUNTY MAYOR'S NOTICE TO TERMINATE THE MASTER INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR MUNICIPAL, ADMINISTRATIVE, AND OPERATIONAL SERVICES WITH THE GREATER SALT LAKE MUNICIPAL SERVICES DISTRICT PURSUANT TO UTAH CODE ANN. § 11-13-206(1)(e) AND SECTION 5.2 OF THE AGREEMENT; EXPRESSING

A PREFERENCE FOR AN ORDERLY WIND-DOWN AND TRANSITION;
AUTHORIZING COOPERATION WITH THE MAYOR; AND RELATED MATTERS

WHEREAS, the Salt Lake County Council (the “Council”) is the county legislative body of Salt Lake County, Utah (the “County”), a county of the first class operating under the executive-council optional form of government pursuant to Utah Code Ann. § 17-52a-203; and

WHEREAS, the Salt Lake County Mayor (the “Mayor”) is the elected chief executive officer of the County and, under the executive-council form of government, is vested with the executive power of the County, including the administration, execution, and termination of interlocal cooperation agreements entered into by the County under the Interlocal Cooperation Act, Utah Code Title 11, Chapter 13, and related Salt Lake County Ordinances; and

WHEREAS, on or about January 13, 2015, the Council adopted a resolution creating the Greater Salt Lake Municipal Services District (the “District” or “MSD”) as a local district and political subdivision of the State of Utah, pursuant to the Municipal Services District Act, Utah Code Ann. § 17B-2a-1101, et seq., for the purpose of providing municipal services to residents of the unincorporated area of the County and to such other municipalities as may from time to time be members of the District; and

WHEREAS, following the creation of the District, the unincorporated communities of Copperton, Emigration Canyon, Kearns, Magna, and White City incorporated as metro townships and joined the District as municipal members; the Town of Brighton likewise incorporated and joined the District as a municipal member in January 2020; and each of the five metro townships subsequently converted to a municipality pursuant to HB 35 (2024), “Metro Township Modifications” (Chief Sponsor: Rep. Jordan D. Teuscher; Senate Sponsor: Sen. Luz Escamilla; codified at Utah Code Ann. § 10-1-201.5 and effective May 1, 2024) (collectively, these six municipalities are referred to as the “Member Municipalities”); and

WHEREAS, on January 9, 2018, the Council adopted Resolution No. 5304 approving and authorizing execution of an interlocal cooperation agreement among the County, the District, and the Member Municipalities for the provision by the County of municipal, administrative, and operational services to the District; and

WHEREAS, on or about January 25, 2018, the County, the District, and the Member Municipalities entered into that certain Master Interlocal Agreement for Municipal, Administrative, and Operational Services (as amended from time to time, the “Master Agreement”), under which the County agreed to provide enumerated municipal, administrative, and operational services to the District for delivery by the District to

residents of the unincorporated area of the County and to the Member Municipalities, with an initial term continuing from year to year for fifty (50) years through January 24, 2068, unless previously terminated as provided in the Master Agreement; and

WHEREAS, the County, the District, and the Member Municipalities have entered into four amendments to the Master Agreement under which the District has progressively assumed direct responsibility for services that the County previously provided, including (i) under Amendment No. 1, internal accounting, budgeting, treasurer, and auditor functions; (ii) under Amendment No. 2, planning and development services; (iii) under Amendment No. 3, the ability of any Member Municipality to terminate clerk services upon thirty (30) days written notice; and (iv) under Amendment No. 4, public works engineering services effective December 31, 2024; and

WHEREAS, during the 2024 General Session, the Utah Legislature enacted House Bill 330, “Unincorporated Areas Amendments” (Chief Sponsor: Rep. Jordan D. Teuscher; Senate Sponsor: Sen. Kirk A. Cullimore), which amended Utah Code Ann. § 10-2-814, to provide that, on July 1, 2027, unincorporated islands within a county of the first class are automatically annexed to an adjoining municipality, together with related amendments governing the incorporation of community council areas and other unincorporated areas within a county of the first class; and

WHEREAS, the County’s unincorporated residential footprint, already substantially reduced through prior annexations and the incorporation of the Member Municipalities and other communities, will further diminish on or about July 1, 2027, when the automatic annexations contemplated by Utah Code Ann. § 10-2-814, as amended, take effect, leaving the County with a smaller, more geographically discrete unincorporated residential population to which municipal-type services must be delivered; and

WHEREAS, the Salt Lake County Justice Court is scheduled to close and cease operations on or about July 1, 2027, and its residual caseload transferred to Utah Third District Court, with the result that justice court services will no longer be among the services provided by Salt Lake County to the Member Municipalities pursuant to the Master Agreement; and

WHEREAS, in light of the reduced and changing scope of the County’s unincorporated residential service area, and consistent with the County’s obligation to be a responsible steward of taxpayer resources, the Council desires that the County preserve the flexibility to evaluate and pursue all reasonable alternatives for the delivery of municipal-type services to its remaining unincorporated areas, including direct provision by County departments, interlocal cooperation agreements pursuant to Utah Code Title 11, Chapter 13, with one or more municipalities, special districts, or other political

subdivisions, and procurement of services from private-sector providers in accordance with applicable law and countywide procurement policy; and

WHEREAS, Utah Code Ann. § 17B-2a-1108, as amended, requires a municipality located in whole or in part within a municipal services district to remit to that district both the local option sales and use tax the municipality receives under Title 59, Chapter 12, Part 2 and the transportation funds the municipality receives under Section 72-2-108, in each case attributable to the portion of the municipality located within the district, and this statutory obligation continues independent of the Master Agreement and applies to each Member Municipality regardless of the County's contractual relationship with the District; and

WHEREAS, Utah Code Ann. § 17B-2a-1109, as amended, by contrast authorizes but does not require a county to fund the operation and maintenance of a municipal services district through the sharing of sales tax and other revenue, and the County's revenue-sharing with the District has accordingly been undertaken on a discretionary, contractual basis under Section 4 of the Master Agreement rather than under any statutory mandate; and

WHEREAS, Section 5.2 of the Master Agreement, citing the Interlocal Cooperation Act, provides that the Master Agreement will continue from year to year unless terminated, and that the Master Agreement may be terminated without cause by either the County or the District upon at least one (1) year's prior written notice to the other Parties; and

WHEREAS, the Mayor, in the exercise of executive authority and following consultation with the Council leadership, has determined that it is in the best interests of the County, its residents, and its taxpayers that the County terminate its existing contractual relationship and obligations with the District, and has accordingly issued, or proposes to issue, written notice of termination of the Master Agreement under Section 5.2 thereof, a copy of which is attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit A (the "Termination Notice"); and

WHEREAS, while the executive authority to terminate the Master Agreement is vested in the Mayor, the Council adopted Resolution No. 5304 authorizing the original execution of the Master Agreement and has a continuing policy interest in the orderly conduct of the County's relationships with local districts and in the responsible stewardship of County resources, and the Council therefore desires to express its concurrence with, and support for, the Mayor's issuance of the Termination Notice and to facilitate an orderly wind-down and transition; and

WHEREAS, this resolution effects only the contractual termination of the Master Agreement, including the County's service-provider and funding obligations set forth

therein, and does not effect a statutory withdrawal of any area from the District under Utah Code Title 17B, Chapter 1, Part 5, or under the Municipal Services District Act, and the District will continue in existence following the termination of the Master Agreement, with the Member Municipalities continuing to remit to the District the amounts required by Utah Code Ann. § 17B-2a-1108; and

WHEREAS, the orderly wind-down of service obligations under the Master Agreement during the one-year notice period will require coordinated planning between the County and the District regarding the transition of remaining services, the disposition of certain personnel, and the treatment of capital projects, equipment, records, and ongoing operational matters, all of which the Mayor and their designees are best positioned to manage in consultation with District leadership; and

WHEREAS, the Council finds that concurrence with the Mayor's issuance of the Termination Notice, together with the framework for cooperation set forth herein, is in the best interests of the residents and taxpayers of Salt Lake County;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Salt Lake County Council, acting as county legislative body, as follows:

1. Concurrence and Support. The Council hereby concurs with and supports the Mayor's issuance of the Termination Notice attached hereto as Exhibit A, terminating the Master Agreement without cause pursuant to Section 5.2 thereof and Utah Code Ann. § 11-13-206(1)(e), effective one (1) year following the date of delivery of the Termination Notice to the other Parties as provided in Article VI of the Master Agreement.
2. Acknowledgment of Executive Authority. The Council acknowledges that the authority to terminate the Master Agreement on behalf of the County is vested in the Mayor as chief executive officer of the County, and that adoption of this resolution by the Council is not a precondition to the legal effectiveness of the Termination Notice. This resolution is adopted to express the Council's concurrent policy support for the Mayor's action and to authorize Council cooperation in the wind-down and transition described below.
3. Preference for Orderly Wind-Down and Transition. The Council expresses its preference that, during the one-year notice period and any reasonable transition period thereafter, the County, the District, and the Member Municipalities work cooperatively to effect an orderly wind-down of service obligations under the Master Agreement, including the transition of remaining services, the disposition of certain personnel, the treatment of in-progress capital projects, and the orderly transfer of operational records.

4. Authorization to Negotiate Transition Terms. The Mayor is hereby authorized and directed to negotiate with the District and the Member Municipalities such wind-down, transition, and successor arrangements as the Mayor determines, in the exercise of executive discretion, to be appropriate to effectuate the orderly conclusion of the Master Agreement, which arrangements may include, without limitation, one or more interlocal agreements addressing transitional services, the treatment of County personnel, and any discretionary continuation of County revenue-sharing with the District under Utah Code Ann. § 17B-2a-1109.

5. Reporting to the Council. The Mayor shall report to the Council on the progress of the wind-down and any related negotiations at such intervals as the Council may from time to time request. Any successor or transitional interlocal agreement requiring Council approval under Utah Code Ann. § 11-13-202.5 or otherwise shall be presented to the Council for action in the ordinary course.

6. Distinction from District Withdrawal. Nothing in this resolution constitutes, or shall be construed as, an initiation of withdrawal of any area from the District under Utah Code Title 17B, Chapter 1, Part 5, or under the Municipal Services District Act. The District shall continue to exist following the termination of the Master Agreement, and the obligations of the Member Municipalities under Utah Code Ann. § 17B-2a-1108 shall continue notwithstanding the termination.

7. Reservation of Rights and Remedies. The Council and the County expressly reserve all rights, remedies, and options available under the Master Agreement, the Interlocal Cooperation Act, the Municipal Services District Act, and all other applicable law. Nothing in this resolution shall be construed to prejudice the County's position in any future negotiation, dispute, or proceeding relating to the Master Agreement or to the County's relationship with the District.

8. Severability. If any section, part, or provision of this resolution is held invalid or unenforceable, such invalidity or unenforceability shall not affect any other section, part, or provision of this resolution, and all sections, parts, and provisions of this resolution shall be severable.

9. Effective Date. This resolution shall take effect upon adoption by the Salt Lake County Council.

ADOPTED AND APPROVED this 30th day of June, 2026.

SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL

ATTEST

By /s/ AIMEE WINDER NEWTON

Chair

By /s/LANNIE CHAPMAN
Salt Lake County Clerk

A motion was made by Council Member Johnson, seconded by Council Member Stewart, that this agenda item be approved. The motion carried 8 to 1 by the following roll call vote:

Aye: Council Member Suzanne Harrison, Council Member Stringham, Council Member Pinkney, Council Member Johnson, Council Member Romero, Council Member Stewart, Council Member Theodore, Council Member Winder Newton

Nay: Council Member Moreno

10. Consideration of a Resolution of the County Council of 26-674
3 Salt Lake County Renewing Delegation of Authority to
Further Reduce Parking Violation Penalties in Limited
Circumstances

Presenter: Lisa Hartman, Associate Deputy Mayor of Regional Operations;
Claudia Wiese, Canyons Management Program Manager, ORD
(10 minutes)

Discussion - Vote Needed

Attachments:

1. Parking Penalty Reduction Resolution Renewal_2026_v2_RAFL

Ms. Lisa Hartman, Associate Deputy Mayor of Regional Operations, reviewed the resolution, stating this is a one-year extension of a resolution the Council passed last year allowing the Greater Salt Lake Municipal Services District (MSD) to reduce parking ticket fines for those who protest. Based on feedback from residents, ski resorts, and the Sheriff's Office, there needs to be a longer transition as the Utah Department of Transportation (UDOT) continues to update the signs in the canyon.

RESOLUTION NO. 6404

**A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF SALT LAKE COUNTY
RENEWING DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY TO FURTHER REDUCE PARKING
VIOLATION PENALTIES IN LIMITED CIRCUMSTANCES**

RECITALS

A. WHEREAS, on October 17, 2023, the Salt Lake County Council (“Council”) adopted a civil parking enforcement ordinance (“parking ordinance”), which included a schedule of penalties for violation of the parking ordinance.

B. WHEREAS, on October 10, 2023 the Council adopted a Resolution, authorizing the Greater Municipal Services District (“MSD”) to reduce the penalties outlined in the parking ordinance by \$50 for first-time offenders and by \$25 for second-time offenders in order to resolve appeals of parking penalties and to save the expense of processing such appeals.

C. WHEREAS, the parking ordinance allows an administrative law judge (“ALJ”) to reduce a penalty for violation of the parking ordinance to as low as \$25 when parking sign regulations are not visible where the violation takes place.

D. WHEREAS, there are sections of Big and Little Cottonwood Canyons that are prone to avalanches (“avalanche zones”), which have signage preceding these avalanche zones that indicate that parking is not allowed, but which do not have signage throughout the avalanche zones due to avalanches taking out signs previously in place.

E. WHEREAS, it is anticipated that enforcement of the parking ordinance in these avalanche zones and other areas where signage is not visible has resulted in numerous disputes and multiple appeals on the basis that a sign was not visible from where the violation took place, even though a sign prohibiting parking preceded the avalanche zone.

F. WHEREAS, to process such appeals to the point of an ALJ reducing the penalty for lack of a visible sign, as authorized by the parking ordinance, requires Salt Lake County and the MSD to expend funds to pay the costs of the ALJ, and such expenses multiplied by a number of appeals may become significant.

G. WHEREAS, on June 3, 2025, the Council enacted Resolution No. 6304, authorizing the MSD, who is responsible for implementing the appeals process outlined in the parking ordinance, to further reduce the penalties outlined in the parking ordinance to \$25 for appeals that allege no parking signage was visible, in order to resolve such appeals and save the expense of the same.

H. WHEREAS, the Council desires to renew Resolution No. 6304 for an additional year from the date of today’s Resolution (“2026 Renewal Resolution”), finding that authorizing such reduction via the 2026 Renewal Resolution is more efficient than approving individual requests for penalty reduction. Moreover, the Cottonwood Canyons are undergoing significant transit improvements, these changes could lead to parking and

signage changes, and such transition could create additional confusion that can be mitigated by this Resolution. The Council retains the ability to revisit the matter thereafter.

RESOLUTION

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED, by the County Council of Salt Lake County:

1. Resolution No. 6304 is hereby renewed for an additional year from the date of this 2026 Renewal Resolution. Specifically, for appeals of violations of the parking ordinance in Big or Little Cottonwood Canyons that allege the lack of a visible sign where the violation took place, the Council authorizes the MSD to reduce penalties to \$25 to resolve the appeals. At the conclusion of this one-year renewal, the MSD will be limited by the Council's October 10, 2023 Resolution when resolving such appeals. All other appeals in 2026 and thereafter are subject to the Council's October 10, 2023 Resolution.

APPROVED and ADOPTED this 30th day of June, 2026.

SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL

ATTEST

By /s/ AIMEE WINDER NEWTON
Chair

By /s/LANNIE CHAPMAN
Salt Lake County Clerk

A motion was made by Council Member Pinkney, seconded by Council Member Johnson, that this agenda item be approved. The motion carried by a unanimous vote. Council Member Moreno was absent for the vote.

- 10. First Reading of an Ordinance Enacting a Temporary** 26-671
4 Land Use Regulation Allowing Construction of a
Temporary Road on Slopes in Excess of 50% on Certain
Property for the Rocky Mountain Power Transmission
Line Replacement Project

Presenter: Curtis Woodward, MSD Senior Planner; Zach Shaw, Senior Civil Attorney
(10 minutes)

Discussion - Vote Needed

Attachments:

1. temporary land use regulation ordinance_final
2. Temporary Land Use Regulation staff report_final

Mr. Curits Woodward, Senior Planner, Greater Salt Lake Municipal Services District, reviewed the ordinance enacting a temporary land use regulation to facilitate a project by Rocky Mountain Power to replace old wooden poles in Parley's Canyon with fire-resistive metal poles to reduce wildfire risk. The project did not involve an upgrade or increase in the kilovolts of the power line itself.

The contractor hired by Rocky Mountain Power was planning on using an old existing road system that wound through the canyon and joined various sites of the poles needing to be replaced. However, one section of that road system was problematic. To get from I-80 to the existing road, the contractor would have had to cross Parley's Creek, construct a bridge, and take out a lot of trees because the shoulder of I-80 was narrower than it used to be. Doing that would wreak havoc on Parley's Creek, so the MSD worked with the contractor on an alternate route to bypass the creek and go up the hillside away from most of the trees.

While the Foothills and Canyons Overlay Zone (FCOZ) does allow for exceptions to the slope restriction requirements for public uses, like utilities, the MSD's ability to grant a waiver is capped at 50 percent slope and some of the areas on this route exceed 50 percent slope.

The MSD worked with the County's land use attorney, the Mayor's Office, and the Office of Regional Development on this, and determined that granting the contractor the use of this alternate, temporary access road would enable Rocky Mountain to do this work, and a temporary land use regulation was only allowed for a short window of time and a limited use of the road. The MSD would also require Rocky Mountain Power to regrade the road once the project was complete, so it could no longer be used as a road or trail, and revegetate if necessary to help avoid erosion, etc. That would likely be done the end of October, or early November of this year.

Council Member Romero stated compensation is generally associated with these types of projects. He asked if that was offered or requested, in light of what was going to happen to this land.

Mr. Woodward stated the bulk of the project is on existing roads that Rocky Mountain Power already had access to. The temporary land use area was not County-owned or controlled property; it was private property. Rocky Mountain Power has been working

with the private property owner, and it has been working with the MSD on a reclamation plan.

Council Member Romero stated the fact there had not been any conversation on compensation and there would be damage to the property, gave him pause, so he would be voting “no” on this.

A motion was made by Council Member Harrison, seconded by Council Member Pinkney, to forward the ordinance to the July 14, 2026, Council Meeting for final consideration. The motion carried 7 to 1 by the following roll call vote:

Aye: Council Member Harrison, Council Member Stringham, Council Member Pinkney, Council Member Johnson, Council Member Stewart, Council Member Theodore, Council Member Winder Newton

Nay: Council Member Romero

Absent: Council Member Moreno

11. OTHER ITEMS REQUIRING COUNCIL APPROVAL

11. Cancellation of July 7, 2026, County Council Meeting in Observance of the 1 Independence Day Holiday

A motion was made by Council Member Johnson, seconded by Council Member Stewart, that this agenda item be approved. The motion carried by a unanimous vote. Council Member Moreno was absent for the vote.

Council Member Winder Newton noted a Board of Canvassers meeting will still be held on July 7, 2026, at 4:00 PM.

12. PROCLAMATIONS, MEMORIALS, AND OTHER CEREMONIAL OR COMMEMORATIVE MATTERS

12. A Proclamation of the Salt Lake County Council 1 Recognizing the 90th Annual Obon Festival 26-673

Presenter: Jiro Johnson, Salt Lake County Council Member; Ross Romero, Salt Lake County Council Member

Informational

Attachments:

1. Obon Festival Proc-th edits

Council Member Johnson read the following resolution recognizing the 90th Annual Obon Festival:

Salt Lake County

A Proclamation Recognizing the 90th Annual Obon Festival

WHEREAS, the Salt Lake County Council values and appreciates its partnership with the Salt Lake Buddhist Temple, the Japanese Church of Christ, and the county's Japanese American Community; and

WHEREAS, the Japanese American community has helped shape the culture, economy, and spirit of Salt Lake County since the early 1900s, and the Salt Lake Buddhist Temple has long stood as a place of worship, gathering, and remembrance for families across the Wasatch Front; and

WHEREAS, for 90 years the Obon Festival has brought our community together to honor those who came before us, much as families everywhere gather to remember and celebrate the lives of loved ones who are no longer with us; and

WHEREAS, the Festival keeps alive a story of resilience, gratitude, and belonging that stretches back generations on Japantown Street; and

WHEREAS, the dedicated efforts of the Temple board, volunteers, performers, and countless others continue to make the Obon Festival possible; and

WHEREAS, ninety years is a remarkable milestone worth celebrating and acknowledging;

THEREFORE, the Salt Lake County Council extends its heartfelt congratulations to the Salt Lake Buddhist Temple, the Japanese Church of Christ, and the Japanese American Community and recognizes the 90th Annual Obon Festival.

/s/ Aimee Winder Newton

Council Member Winder Newton, Chair

/s/ Sheldon Stewart

Council Member Stewart, Vice Chair

/s/ Laurie Stringham

Council Member Stringham

/s/ Suzanne Harrison

Council Member Harrison

<u>/s/ Natalie Pinkney</u>	Council Member Pinkney
<u>/s/ Jiro Johnson</u>	Council Member Johnson
<u>/s/ Carlos Moreno</u>	Council Member Moreno
<u>/s/ Ross Romero</u>	Council Member Romero
<u>/s/ Dea Theodore</u>	Council Member Theodore

13. OTHER BUSINESS

14. POTENTIAL CLOSED SESSION

14. Potential Closed Session to Discuss the Purchase, Exchange, or Lease of 1 Real Property

A motion was made by Council Member Harrison, seconded by Council Member Moreno, moved to close the meeting to discuss the purchase, exchange, or lease of real property, and upon coming out of the closed session, to adjourn the meeting. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

ADJOURN

THERE BEING NO FURTHER BUSINESS to come before the Council at this time, the meeting was adjourned at 4:55 PM until Tuesday, July 14, 2026.

LANNIE CHAPMAN, COUNTY CLERK

By _____
DEPUTY CLERK

By _____
CHAIR, SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL